

# Characterization of 1-dimensional Manifolds

Martin Väth

**Theorem.** *Every separable metrizable 1-dimensional connected  $C^n$  manifold (with or without boundary) is  $C^n$ -diffeomorphic to either the circle  $S^1 := S_1(0)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or to an interval.*

The separability is required to exclude pathological manifolds like the “long line” (uncountably many intervals of length 1 stuck together). Note that a one-dimensional *manifold with boundary* is defined analogously to a manifold without boundary, only that for the chart(s) onto the boundary point, the preimage of the manifold only has to be an half-open interval (not an open interval).

A proof can be found in [1], although some parts of that proof are not given in much a detail. For compact manifolds the proof can be slightly simplified (though the main ideas are the same).

Here is a more verbose proof of this special case by myself (based on the proof from [1]) which appeared as [2, Theorem 9.15].

**Theorem.** *Every compact connected 1-dimensional  $C^1$  manifold  $X$  (with or without boundary) is diffeomorphic to either the circle  $S^1 := S_1(0)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or to  $[0, 1]$ .*

*Proof.* By shrinking the charts if necessary, we can assume that  $X$  is covered by open sets, each of which is diffeomorphic to an interval. By the compactness, we have  $X = U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n$  such that each  $U_k$  is diffeomorphic to an interval. Now if  $X$  is not diffeomorphic to  $S^1$ , we show inductively that there are pairwise different  $k_1, \dots, k_j$  and diffeomorphisms  $f_j$  of an interval  $I_j$  onto  $X_j := U_{k_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{k_j}$ . Then we are done for  $j = n$ .

For the induction start, we put  $k_1 := 1$ . For the induction step, note that the connectedness of  $X$  implies that there is some  $k_{j+1}$  different from  $k_1, \dots, k_j$  such that  $U := U_{k_{j+1}}$  intersects  $X_j$ . Let  $f$  be a diffeomorphism of an interval  $I$  onto  $U$ . We put now  $M := f_j^{-1}(U \cap X_j)$  and consider

$$\Gamma := \text{graph } f^{-1} \circ f_j|_M = \{(t, s) \in I_j \times I : f(s) = f_j(t)\}$$

as a subset of  $I_j \times I$ . Note that  $g = f^{-1} \circ f_j|_M$  is a diffeomorphism (of  $M$  onto  $f^{-1}(U \cap X_j)$ ), in particular  $\Gamma$  is the graph of the one-to-one  $C^1$  function  $g$  with nonzero derivative. Moreover, if  $(t, s) \in \Gamma$  belongs to the interior of  $I_j \times I$  then  $\Gamma$  extends to the left and right of  $t$ , that is,  $\Gamma$  cannot end in the interior of the rectangle  $I_j \times I$ . Since, by the injectivity of  $g$ ,  $\Gamma$  intersects each side of the rectangle at most once, it follows that there are at most two components of  $\Gamma$ , each starting and ending at different sides of the rectangle. If there is only one component,  $M$  is an interval. In this case, it is clear that we can (after reparametrizing  $f$ ) “concatenate”  $f_j$  and  $f$  to a homeomorphism of  $X_j \cup U$  to an interval. Thus, assume  $\Gamma$  has two components, each connecting two sides of the rectangle. By the injectivity of  $g$ , these must be “neighboring” sides,  $M$  is the union of two disjoint open in  $M$  intervals  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , and by the injectivity of  $g$  the intervals  $g(M_1)$  and  $g(M_2)$  cannot intersect. Filling the “gaps” between the intervals  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  using  $f_j$  and between  $g(M_1)$  and  $g(M_2)$  using  $f$ , we see that there is a diffeomorphism  $h$  of  $S^1$  onto  $X_j \cup U$ . Since  $h(S^1) = X_j \cup U$  is open and compact and thus closed in  $X$ , the connectedness of  $X$  implies  $X = h(S^1)$ . Hence,  $X$  is diffeomorphic to  $S^1$  which we had excluded for the induction.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Milnor, J. W., *Topology from the differential viewpoint*, University Press of Virginia, Charlottesville, 1965.
- [2] Väth, M., *Topological analysis. From the basics to the triple degree for nonlinear Fredholm inclusions*, de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 2012.