

An explicit Lyapunov function
for reflection symmetric
parabolic partial differential equations
on the circle

Bernold Fiedler*
Clodoaldo Grotta-Ragazzo**
Carlos Rocha***

version of July 5, 2012

*Institut für Mathematik
Freie Universität Berlin
Arnimallee 3
14195 Berlin, Germany

**Instituto de Matemática e Estatística
Universidade de São Paulo
05508-090 São Paulo, Brazil

***Instituto Superior Técnico
Avenida Rovisco Pais
1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal

1 Introduction and main result

We consider real scalar semilinear parabolic partial differential equations of the form

$$(1.1) \quad u_t = u_{xx} + f$$

in one space dimension $0 < x < 1$ and with C^1 nonlinearities f .

Under Dirichlet or Neumann *separated boundary conditions*

$$(1.2) \quad u = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad u_x = 0$$

at $x = 0, 1$ and for nonlinearities

$$(1.3) \quad f = f(x, u)$$

it is well-known that there exists an explicit *Lyapunov function*

$$(1.4) \quad V(u) := \int_0^1 L(x, u, u_x) dx$$

with the *Lagrange function* integrand

$$(1.5) \quad L(x, u, u_x) := \frac{1}{2}u_x^2 - F(x, u).$$

Here F is a primitive function of f with respect to u . The Lyapunov function V indeed satisfies

$$(1.6) \quad \dot{V} = \frac{d}{dt}V(u(t, \cdot)) = - \int_0^1 (u_t)^2 dx$$

along any classical solution $u = u(t, x)$ of (1.1). By LaSalle's invariance principle this forces convergence to equilibria for bounded solutions and $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Adding suitable boundary terms to the Lyapunov function V the result extends to separated nonlinear boundary conditions

$$(1.7) \quad u_x = \beta(x, u) \quad \text{at } x = 0, 1$$

of Robin type. Passing from strong solutions to weak solutions similar statements remain valid and identify the semiflow (1.1) as the L^2 -gradient semiflow of the Lyapunov function V . See [He81], [Pa83] for a general background, and [Mo83] for the case of C^1 -nonlinearities.

It is a little less well-known how [Ze68] and [Ma88] extended this classical result to nonlinearities

$$(1.8) \quad f = f(x, u, u_x)$$

which also depend on the *advection term* u_x , again under separated boundary conditions. For the convenience of the reader we recall the beautiful argument by [Ma88] in section 2. For a suitable Lagrange function $L = L(x, u, p)$ replacing (1.5), the Lyapunov decay property (1.6) gets replaced by

$$(1.9) \quad \dot{V} = \frac{d}{dt}V(u(t, \cdot)) = - \int_0^1 L_{pp}(x, u, u_x)(u_t)^2 dx ,$$

with strict convexity of $p \mapsto L(x, u, p)$, i.e. with positive second partial derivative

$$(1.10) \quad L_{pp} > 0.$$

Therefore L_{pp} provides the appropriate inhomogeneous L^2 -metric to view (1.1), (1.2), (1.8) as a gradient semiflow.

Under *periodic boundary conditions* $x \in S^1 := \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, alias

$$(1.11) \quad [u]_0^1 = [u_x]_0^1 = 0$$

the parabolic PDE (1.1) retains its gradient character (1.1) – (1.6) for nonlinearities $f = f(x, u)$. The presence of advection terms u_x , however, is able to produce non-equilibrium *time periodic solutions* $u(t, x)$. For example consider the $SO(2)$ -equivariant case

$$(1.12) \quad f = f(u, u_x)$$

where $u(t, x)$ is a solution of PDE (1.1) iff $u(t, x + \vartheta)$ is, for any fixed rotation $\vartheta \in S^1 = SO(2)$. Already [AnFi88] have observed that spatially nonhomogeneous *rotating wave solutions*

$$(1.13) \quad u = U(x - ct)$$

with nonvanishing wave speeds $c \neq 0$ may then occur. Indeed this only requires nonstationary 1-periodic solutions U of the traveling wave equation

$$(1.14) \quad U'' + cU' + f(U, U') = 0$$

to exist. In general, convergence to equilibria for $t \rightarrow +\infty$ is then augmented by the possibility of convergence to rotating waves. For a specific example consider the nonlinearity

$$(1.15) \quad f(u, p) := \lambda u(1 - u^2) - cp$$

for $\lambda > \pi$. This amounts to viewing solutions of the cubic nonlinearity

$$(1.16) \quad f_0(u) := \lambda u(1 - u^2),$$

known as the Chafee-Infante problem [ChIn74], in coordinates which rotate at constant speed c around $x \in S^1$. The nonhomogeneous equilibria $U(x)$ of the Chafee-Infante problem (1.16) then provide nonequilibrium rotating wave solutions $U(x - ct)$ of (1.14). Of course this argument extends to any nonlinearity $f(u, p) = f_0(u) - cp$. Other examples include nonlinearities $f = f(u, p)$ with traveling wave equations (1.14) of Van der Pol type. For general not necessarily $SO(2)$ -equivariant nonlinearities $f = f(x, u, p)$, time periodic solutions $u = u(t, x)$ may arise which are not rotating waves. Still, a Poincaré-Bendixson theorem holds which emphasizes the dichotomy between equilibria and periodic solutions for $t \rightarrow +\infty$; see [FiMP89].

With this motivation we consider the $O(2)$ -equivariant case of PDE (1.1) with periodic boundary conditions (1.11) in the present paper. We therefore assume the nonlinearity f to be even in $p = u_x$ to also accommodate reflections $x \mapsto -x \in S^1$ on the circle. Specifically we assume

$$(1.17) \quad f = f(u, p) := \bar{f}(u, \frac{1}{2}p^2)$$

with C^1 -nonlinearity

$$(1.18) \quad \bar{f} = \bar{f}(u, q), \quad q = \frac{1}{2}p^2.$$

Arguments based on Sturm nodal properties and the zero numbers as in [AnFi88], [FiMP89] then show that all rotating waves are *frozen* to become equilibria, i.e. “rotate” at wave speed $c = 0$. See also [FiRoWo04]. Instead we construct an explicit Lyapunov function, in the $O(2)$ -case, which forces convergence to equilibria directly by LaSalle’s invariance principle. Convergence to single equilibria, in that case, has been established by [Ma88] already. Those arguments essentially excluded the alternative of rotating waves and were based on Sturm nodal properties. They did not use the explicit Lyapunov function, which we now construct to explore the gradient flow variational character of PDE (1.1) on the circle.

To formulate our main result, theorem 1.1 below, we assume that the $O(2)$ -equivariant nonlinearity $f = \bar{f}(u, q)$ of (1.17), (1.18) is such that the nonautonomous ODE

$$(1.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{du}q &= -\bar{f}(u, q), \\ q(u_0) &= q_0 \end{aligned}$$

possesses a global solution

$$(1.20) \quad q(u_1) = \Psi^{u_1, u_0}(q_0)$$

for all real q_0, u_0, u_1 . This assumption is satisfied if \bar{f} grows at most linearly in q : a one-sided condition like $u\bar{f}(u, q) \leq c_1(u) + c_2(u)q$ in the relevant region $q \geq 0$ with continuous functions c_1, c_2 , for example, prevents blow-up of solutions to equation (1.19) in finite “time” u ; see also section 2 of [GR12].

We define the Lagrange function L , alias the integrand of the Lyapunov function V in (1.4), as

$$(1.21) \quad L(u, p) := \int_0^p \int_0^{p_1} \exp(F_q(u, \frac{1}{2}p_2^2)) dp_2 dp_1 - F(u)$$

with the abbreviations

$$(1.22) \quad \begin{aligned} F(u) &:= \int_0^u \bar{f}(u_1, 0) \exp(F_q(u_1, 0)) du_1 \\ F_q(u, q) &:= \int_0^u \bar{f}_q(u_1, \Psi^{u_1, u}(q)) du_1. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\bar{f}_q = \bar{f}_q(u_1, q_1)$ denotes the partial derivative with respect to the second argument $q_1 = \Psi^{u_1, u}(q)$, and not the chain rule total derivative with respect to q in $q \mapsto \bar{f}_q(u_1, \Psi^{u_1, u}(q))$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\bar{f} \in C^1$ be such that the solutions (1.20) of ODE (1.19) exist globally.*

Then the functional

$$(1.23) \quad V(u) := \int_0^1 L(u, u_x) dx$$

with the Lagrange function L of (1.21) is a Lyapunov function for the parabolic PDE (1.1) with $O(2)$ -equivariant nonlinearity $f = \bar{f}(u, \frac{1}{2}u_x^2)$ under periodic boundary conditions (1.11). More precisely

$$(1.24) \quad \dot{V} = \frac{d}{dt} V(u(t, \cdot)) = - \int_0^1 L_{pp}(u, \frac{1}{2}(u_x)^2) (u_t)^2 dx$$

holds on classical solutions $u = u(t, x)$ of (1.1), with strict convexity of $L(x, u, p)$ in p , i.e. with positive metric coefficient

$$(1.25) \quad L_{pp} = \exp(-F_q(u, \frac{1}{2}p^2)).$$

In case $\bar{f}(u, \frac{1}{2}u_x^2) = f(u)$ is independent of $q = \frac{1}{2}p^2 = \frac{1}{2}u_x^2$ we have $\bar{f}_q \equiv 0$, $F_q \equiv 0$, $L_{pp} \equiv 1$ and $L(u, p) = \frac{1}{2}p^2 - F(u)$ with a primitive function $F' = f$. We therefore recover the classical Lyapunov function (1.4) – (1.6) in theorem 1.1.

The formulation (1.21), (1.22) of the Lagrange function $L(u, p)$ still involves multiple integrals in terms of the evolution $\Psi^{u_1, u_0}(q_0)$ of the characteristic ODE (1.19), (1.20) and the nonlinearity \bar{f} . To eliminate some of these integrals and provide a more direct expression for L we define an auxiliary function $\varphi = \varphi(u, p)$ such that

$$(1.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_p(u, p) &= \Psi_q^{0,u}(\frac{1}{2}p^2) \\ \varphi(u, 0) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\Psi_q^{0,u}(q)$ denotes the partial derivative of the evolution $\Psi^{0,u}(q)$ with respect to q . Of course (1.26) amounts to simple integration,

$$(1.27) \quad \varphi(u, p) := \int_0^p \Psi_q^{0,u}(\frac{1}{2}p_2^2) dp_2.$$

Corollary 1.2. *The Lagrange function L of theorem 1.1 defined in (1.21), (1.22) can be written equivalently as*

$$(1.28) \quad L(u, p) = p\varphi(u, p) - \Psi^{0,u}(\frac{1}{2}p^2)$$

Again the trivial case $\bar{f}_q = F_q = 0$, $\Psi^{0,u}(q) = q + F(u)$, $\Psi_q^{0,u}(q) = 1$, $\varphi(u, p) = p$ implies $L(u, p) = p^2 - \frac{1}{2}p^2 - F(u) = \frac{1}{2}p^2 - F(u)$. An explicit construction of the Lyapunov function V is also possible when $f(u, p) = a(u) + b(u)p^2/2$. Then equation (1.19) is linear and can be integrated. After some computations we can express the Lagrangian L of V in terms of integrals of functions a and b . For linear $a(u)$ and constant b an explicit Lyapunov function was also constructed in [GuMa01] (Proposition 5.8) using the ideas in [ZeLaVi97] (chapter 2).

In section 2 we reproduce Matano's elegant construction of the Lagrange function for $f = f(x, u, u_x)$ and indicate where the argument fails at a technical level, as it must, under periodic boundary conditions. In section 3 we prove theorem 1.1, based on Matano's construction. An alternative approach can be based on the fact that under hypothesis (1.17) the equilibrium equation $u_{xx} + f = 0$ admits a first integral; see [GR12]. Section 4 proves corollary 1.2. In section 5 we provide an example which shows how our Lyapunov function fails on $x \in S^1$, as it must, for nonlinearities $f(x, u, p) = f(-x, u, -p)$ which admit only a single reflection rather than

full $O(2)$ -equivariance $f(u, p) = f(u, -p)$ alias $f = \bar{f}(u, \frac{1}{2}p^2)$. Again this is due to the occurrence of nonstationary time periodic orbits. Section 6 collects comments on the associated PDE global attractors, on quasilinear equations, and on negative $q = \frac{1}{2}u_x^2$ alias imaginary u_x .

Acknowledgement. The author Bernold Fiedler is much indebted to Hiroshi Matano for drawing his attention to, and patiently explaining, his construction of a Lagrange function for nonlinearities $f = f(x, u, u_x)$ under separated boundary conditions many years ago. We are also grateful for patient typesetting by M. Barrett.

Bernold Fiedler and Carlos Rocha were partially supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, SFB 647 Space – Time – Matter and by FCT Portugal. Clodoaldo Grotta-Ragazzo was partially supported by CNPq Grant 305089/2009-9, Brazil.

2 Matano's construction

In this section we recall Matano's construction [Ma88] of a Lagrange function $L = L(x, u, p)$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad V(u) := \int_0^1 L(x, u, u_x) dx$$

becomes a Lyapunov function for PDE (1.1) under separated Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions (1.2) and for general nonlinearities $f = f(x, u, u_x)$. We show

$$(2.2) \quad \dot{V} = \frac{d}{dt} V(u(t, \cdot)) = - \int_0^1 L_{pp}(x, u, u_x) \cdot (u_t)^2 dx$$

with the strict convexity condition

$$(2.3) \quad L_{pp} > 0.$$

The construction proceeds as follows. For classical solutions $u = u(t, x)$ we integrate (2.1) by parts and substitute $u_{xx} = u_t - f$ to obtain from

(1.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V} &= \int_0^1 (L_u u_t + L_p u_{tx}) dx = \\ &= \int_0^1 (L_u - \frac{d}{dx} L_p(x, u, u_x)) u_t dx = \\ (2.4) \quad &= \int_0^1 (L_u - L_{px} - L_{pu} u_x - L_{pp} u_{xx}) u_t dx = \\ &= \int_0^1 ((L_u - L_{px} - L_{pu} u_x + L_{pp} f) u_t - L_{pp} (u_t)^2) dx = \\ &= - \int_0^1 L_{pp} (u_t)^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

as required. Here we have assumed Dirichlet boundary conditions $u = 0$, and hence $u_t = 0$, at $x = 0, 1$, for simplicity. Neumann boundary conditions or more general nonlinear boundary conditions (1.7) of Robin type can be covered by adding suitable boundary terms to V . To satisfy the last equality, of course, the Lagrange function L is required to satisfy the linear first order PDE

$$(2.5) \quad L_u - L_{xp} - p L_{up} + f L_{pp} = 0$$

for all real arguments u, p , and $0 \leq x \leq 1$. To reduce the order and guarantee convexity condition $L_{pp} > 0$, Matano makes the Ansatz

$$(2.6) \quad L_{pp} =: \exp g.$$

Differentiating (2.5) partially with respect to p , the terms L_{up} cancel and he obtains the first order linear PDE

$$(2.7) \quad g_x + p g_u - f g_p = f_p$$

for $g = g(x, u, p)$. This linear first order PDE for g can be solved by the method of characteristics: along the solutions of the ODE

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{du}{dx} &= p \\ \frac{dp}{dx} &= -f(x, u, p), \end{aligned}$$

the function g must satisfy

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{d}{dx} g = f_p(x, u, p).$$

For example we may assume

$$(2.10) \quad g(0, u, p) \equiv 0$$

and obtain g globally, in this way, provided that the solutions of the characteristic ODE (2.8) exists for all $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and for all real initial conditions u, p at $x = 0$. In shorthand, ascending from (2.7) $\cdot L_{pp} = (2.5)_p$ to (2.5) itself, via (2.6), we then define

$$(2.11) \quad L(x, u, p) := \int_0^p \int_0^{p_1} \exp g(x, u, p_2) dp_2 dp_1 - F(x, u),$$

$$F(x, u) := \int_0^u f(x, u_1, 0) \exp(g(x, u_1, 0)) du_1.$$

Indeed the left hand side of (2.5) is independent of p , by this construction. Therefore (2.5) holds, for all p , if we verify that (2.5) holds at $p = 0$. At $p = 0$, definition (2.11) implies $0 \equiv L_p \equiv L_{px}$ and $L_u = -F_u = -f \exp g = -f L_{pp}$. This proves (2.5) and completes the Matano construction of the Lyapunov function V .

Of course this correct construction must fail when abused to cover periodic boundary conditions. And it does. Suppose the characteristic equation (2.8) possesses a periodic orbit $(u, p)(x)$ of period one, i.e.

$$(2.12) \quad [(u, p)(x)]_0^1 = 0.$$

Then 1-periodicity of $x \mapsto g(x, u, p)$ requires

$$(2.13) \quad 0 = [g(x, u(0), p(0))]_0^1 = [g(x, u(x), p(x))]_0^1 =$$

$$= \int_0^1 f_p(x, u(x), p(x)) dx$$

in view of (2.9). But this integrability condition may easily be violated, keeping the periodic orbit $(u, p)(x)$ unaffected. Although the Matano construction must therefore fail, in general, whenever time periodic orbits appear in PDE (1.1), the obstacle is more subtle than described above due to the nonlocality of the ill-posed compatibility condition

$$(2.14) \quad [g(x, u(x), p(x))]_0^1 = \int_0^1 f_p(x, u(x), p(x)) dx$$

along the characteristics (2.8).

3 Proof of theorem 1.1

The proof of theorem 1.1 consists of a slight adaptation of the Matano construction, from section 2, to the case of $O(2)$ -equivariant nonlinearities

$$(3.1) \quad f = f(u, p) = \bar{f}(u, q), \quad q := \frac{1}{2}p^2.$$

The nonlinearity f is even in $p = u_x$, due to reflections, and does not depend on x explicitly, due to rotations. Consequently we consider x -independent Lagrange functions $L = L(u, p)$ and seek $O(2)$ -invariant Lyapunov functions of the form

$$(3.2) \quad V(u) := \int_0^1 L(u, u_x) dx,$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad L_{pp} = \exp(g) > 0$$

and g takes the reflection symmetric form

$$(3.4) \quad g = g(u, p) = \bar{g}(u, q), \quad q := \frac{1}{2}p^2.$$

The Matano calculation (2.4) – (2.7) then leads to the first order linear PDE

$$(3.5) \quad p(\bar{g}_u - \bar{f}\bar{g}_q) = p\bar{f}_q.$$

Here we have used the chain rule and we substituted definitions (3.1), (3.4) of f, g in (2.7). We divide by p and solve

$$(3.6) \quad \bar{g}_u - \bar{f}\bar{g}_q = \bar{f}_q$$

by the method of characteristics along the global solutions $q(u_1) = \Psi^{u_1, u_0}(q_0)$ of

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{du}q &= -\bar{f}(u, q) \\ q(u_0) &= q_0 \end{aligned}$$

defined in (1.19), (1.20). Then any \bar{g} which satisfies

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{d}{du}\bar{g}(u, q(u)) = \bar{f}_q(u, q(u))$$

along the characteristics, say with initial condition

$$(3.9) \quad \bar{g}(0, q) := 0,$$

solves the first order linear PDE (3.5). With the help of the evolution $q(u_1) = \Psi^{u_1, u_0}(q_0)$ this implies

$$(3.10) \quad \bar{g}(u, q) = \int_0^u \bar{f}_q(u_1, \Psi^{u_1, u}(q)) du_1 =: F_q(u, q);$$

see also the abbreviation (1.22).

Again we ascend from (3.10) to (2.5) which now reads

$$(3.11) \quad L_u - pL_{up} + fL_{pp} = 0.$$

With the definition $L_{pp} := \exp \bar{g} = \exp F_q$ we obtain

$$(3.12) \quad L(u, p) := \int_0^p \int_0^{p_1} (\exp F_q(u, \frac{1}{2}p_2^2)) dp_2 dp_1 - F(u).$$

Here $F(u)$ is a suitable integration constant. To determine $F(u)$ we only have to evaluate (3.11) at $p = 0$ to obtain

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{d}{du} F(u) = -L_u = fL_{pp} = f(u, 0) \exp F_q(u, 0).$$

The requirements (3.12), (3.13) are satisfied for the Lagrange function L defined in (1.21). (1.22). This proves theorem 1.1. \square

4 Proof of Corollary 1.2

The proof of corollary 1.2 proceeds by performing the integrals in the definition (1.20), (1.21) of the Lagrange function $L(u, p)$, explicitly. Alternatively, of course, it is possible to verify directly that $V(u) = \int_0^1 L(u, u_x) dx$ is a Lyapunov function. This would not motivate the construction of L , however, in contrast to Matano's elegant approach.

To evaluate the integrals (1.21), (1.22) we first observe that the derivative $\eta(u_1)$ of the evolution $\Psi^{u_1, u_0}(q_0)$ of the characteristic ODE (1.19) with respect to the initial condition q_0 ,

$$(4.1) \quad \eta(u_1) := \Psi_q^{u_1, u_0}(q_0),$$

satisfies the linearized characteristic equation

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{du_1} \eta(u_1) &= -\bar{f}_q(u_1, \Psi^{u_1, u_0}(q_0)) \eta(u_1) \\ \eta(u_0) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Explicit integration of (4.2) shows

$$(4.3) \quad \eta(u_1) = \exp\left(-\int_{u_0}^{u_1} \bar{f}_q(u_2, \Psi^{u_2, u_0}(q_0)) du_2\right).$$

Inserting $u_0 = u$, $u_1 = 0$, $q_0 = q$ we obtain

$$(4.4) \quad \exp F_q(u, q) = \eta(0) = \Psi_q^{0, u}(q)$$

from (1.22). Insertion of (4.4) with $q = \frac{1}{2}p_2^2$ in the double integral L_1 of definition (1.20) of the Lagrange function $L(u, p)$, and subsequent integration by parts, then yields

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} L_1(u, p) &:= \int_0^p \int_0^{p_1} \exp(F_q(u, \tfrac{1}{2}p_2^2)) dp_2 dp_1 = \\ &= \int_0^p 1 \cdot \int_0^{p_1} \Psi_q^{0, u}(\tfrac{1}{2}p_2^2) dp_2 dp_1 = \\ &= \left[p_1 \int_0^{p_1} \Psi_q^{0, u}(\tfrac{1}{2}p_2^2) dp_2 \right]_0^p - \int_0^p p_1 \Psi_q^{0, u}(\tfrac{1}{2}p_1^2) dp_1 = \\ &= p\varphi(u, p) - \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}p^2} \Psi_q^{0, u}(q) dq = \\ &= p\varphi(u, p) - \Psi^{0, u}(\tfrac{1}{2}p^2) + \Psi^{0, u}(0). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used definition (1.26) of the auxiliary function φ and we have substituted $q = \frac{1}{2}p^2$ in the integral.

To evaluate the remaining term $-F(u)$ in $L = L_1 - F$ of (1.21) we first observe that the evolution property of Ψ^{u_1, u_0} trivially implies that the partial derivative $\Psi_u^{u_1, u}(q)$ satisfies

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \Psi_u^{u_2, u}(q) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-1} (\Psi^{u_2, u+\varepsilon}(q) - \Psi^{u_2, u+\varepsilon}(\Psi^{u+\varepsilon, u}(q))) \\ &= \Psi_q^{u_2, u}(q) \bar{f}(u, q), \end{aligned}$$

by the chain rule and definition (1.18), (1.19) of the evolution Ψ . Therefore (1.22), (4.4) and (4.6) with $u = u_1$, $u_2 = q = 0$ imply

$$\begin{aligned}
-F(u) &= -\int_0^u \bar{f}(u_1, 0) \exp(F_q(u_1, 0)) du_1 = \\
&= -\int_0^u \bar{f}(u_1, 0) \Psi_q^{0, u_1}(0) du_1 = -\int_0^u \Psi_{u_1}^{0, u_1}(0) du_1 = \\
(4.7) \quad &= -[\Psi^{0, u_1}(0)]_0^u = -\Psi^{0, u}(0).
\end{aligned}$$

Addition of (4.5) and (4.7) implies

$$L(u, p) = L_1(u, p) - F(u) = p\varphi(u, p) - \Psi^{0, u}(\frac{1}{2}p^2)$$

as claimed in (1.28). This proves the corollary.

5 Reflection symmetry

In this section we study the parabolic PDE (1.1) under periodic boundary conditions $x \in S^1 = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, as in (1.11). We consider nonlinearities $f = f(x, u, u_x)$ which are required to possess only a single reflection symmetry $x \mapsto -x$, i.e.

$$(5.1) \quad f(-x, u, -p) = f(x, u, p).$$

In the spirit of the old flow embedding result [SaFi92] we show that any planar flow

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.2) \quad \dot{a} &= g(a, b) \\
\dot{b} &= h(a, b)
\end{aligned}$$

can be realized in this class of PDEs, provided that (5.2) is also reflection symmetric, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.3) \quad g(a, -b) &= g(a, b) \\
h(a, -b) &= -h(a, b)
\end{aligned}$$

Since there exist reflection symmetric planar vector fields with nonstationary periodic orbits, PDEs (1.1) with the associated nonlinearity f do not possess Lyapunov functions of the form (1.4), (1.9), (1.10).

Our realization of the ODE flow (5.2) will be in the invariant subspace

$$E = \text{span}\{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s}\}$$

of the first Fourier modes $\mathbf{c} = \cos x$, $\mathbf{s} = \sin x$. In fact we define

$$(5.4) \quad f(x, a\mathbf{c} + b\mathbf{s}, -a\mathbf{s} + b\mathbf{c}) := (a + g(a, b))\mathbf{c} + (b + h(a, b))\mathbf{s}$$

for all $x \in S^1$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. This is easy, inverting the rotation

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{pmatrix} u \\ p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{c} & \mathbf{s} \\ -\mathbf{s} & \mathbf{c} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$$

and defining, more explicitly,

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} f(x, u, p) := & (u\mathbf{c} - p\mathbf{s} + g(u\mathbf{c} - p\mathbf{s}, u\mathbf{s} + p\mathbf{c}))\mathbf{c} + \\ & + (u\mathbf{s} + p\mathbf{c} + h(u\mathbf{c} - p\mathbf{s}, u\mathbf{s} + p\mathbf{c}))\mathbf{s} \end{aligned}$$

for all x, u, p . Note how reflection symmetry (5.3) of g, h implies reflection symmetry (5.1) of f . Plugging the Ansatz

$$(5.7) \quad u(t, x) := a(t)\mathbf{c} + b(t)\mathbf{s} \in E$$

into PDE (1.1) we see from (5.4) that such u solve (1.1) iff the coefficients $a(t), b(t)$ satisfy the planar ODE (5.2). This proves our realization claim and establishes the possibility of nonstationary periodic orbits.

An analogous construction based on the span of $\cos(nx), \sin(nx)$, instead, shows the possibility of nonstationary periodic orbits in the presence of any finite number of reflection symmetries of PDE (1.1) with respect to $x \in S^1$.

6 Concluding remarks

We briefly comment on the related problem of global attractors for PDE (1.1), on generalizations to quasilinear and nonlinear equations, on finite time blow-up and, finally, on the hidden extension to imaginary $p = u_x$ in our construction of the Lyapunov function $V = \int_0^1 L(x, u, u_x) dx$.

One purpose of Lyapunov functions is to reveal the gradient flow variational character of PDE (1.1) on the circle. In particular we prove convergence to equilibria. Under a dissipativeness assumption on f , the *global attractor* \mathcal{A}_f , alias the bounded set of solutions which exist and stay uniformly bounded for all positive and negative times, has received much attention. In presence of a Lyapunov function (1.4), (1.9), (1.10), the global attractor consists of equilibria and their heteroclinic orbits, only. In contrast, consider the $\text{SO}(2)$ -equivariant case $f = f(u, u_x)$ on

the circle $x \in S^1$, which does not admit a Lyapunov function. The global attractor \mathcal{A}_f in this case consists of equilibria, rotating waves, and the heteroclinic orbits connecting them; see [MaNa97]. In [FiRoWo04] the heteroclinic connections were studied by, first, freezing all rotating waves to become circles of nonhomogeneous equilibria and, second, symmetrizing f to become even in $p = u_x$, by suitable homotopies. The present paper then provides an explicit Lyapunov function to deal with the symmetrized case of frozen waves. The main tool in [FiRoWo04] to study the remaining heteroclinic orbits between equilibria was a Sturm nodal property going back to Sturm [St36] (1836). See also [An88] and the references there. Hence we call such global attractors \mathcal{A}_f *Sturm attractors*.

Matano in fact studies *quasilinear parabolic PDEs* of the form

$$(6.1) \quad u_t = a(x, u, u_x)u_{xx} + f(x, u, u_x)$$

in [Ma88], where a is assumed uniformly positive. The derivation (2.4) – (2.11) then remains valid if we replace the substitution $u_{xx} = u_t - f$ by $u_{xx} = a^{-1}u_t - a^{-1}f$ there. In particular

$$(6.2) \quad \dot{V} = - \int_0^1 a^{-1}L_{pp}(u_t)^2 dx$$

and we just have to replace f by f/a in (2.5) – (2.11). Similarly theorem 1.1 remains valid for $O(2)$ -equivariant

$$(6.3) \quad a = a(u, p) = \bar{a}(u, \frac{1}{2}p^2)$$

if we replace \bar{f} by \bar{f}/\bar{a} in (1.19) – (1.22) and replace L_{pp} in (1.24), (1.25) by L_{pp}/a .

For fully nonlinear parabolic equations

$$(6.4) \quad u_t = f(x, u, u_x, u_{xx})$$

and their equivariant variants a Lyapunov function is not known. Under separated boundary conditions convergence of bounded solutions to single equilibria may still be possible to prove, based on Sturm nodal properties. Albeit the technical ingredients are not sufficiently developed, at present, to provide a short proof here.

Returning to the $O(2)$ -equivariant semilinear case (1.1) with $f = \bar{f}(u, \frac{1}{2}u_x^2)$ it may be interesting to explore the consequences of our Lyapunov function for *blow-up* on the circle $x \in S^1$; see also [FiMa07] for the case of

separated boundary conditions. Basically two different effects may occur. First, the Lagrangian integrand L of the Lyapunov function may become unboundedly negative via u , in (1.23). Second, the characteristics $q = q(u)$ in (1.19), (1.20) may already explode for finite values of u , terminating our very definition of the Lagrangian integrand L in (1.21), (1.22). It will be of interest to compare this second phenomenon, which may occur for nonlinearities f which grow superquadratically in the gradient u_x , with the gradient blow-up described in [OlKr61].

We conclude with a *complex curiosity* in our construction of the Lagrangian integrand L via the characteristics (1.19), (1.20). Let us first interpret the characteristic

$$(6.5) \quad \frac{d}{du}q = -\bar{f}(u, q).$$

As long as q remains positive it is easy to see that $q = q(u)$ solves (6.5) iff any solution of

$$(6.6) \quad u_x = \pm \sqrt{2q(u(x))}$$

with $u(x)$ in that positivity domain of q solves the equilibrium ODE

$$(6.7) \quad 0 = u_{xx} + \bar{f}(u, \frac{1}{2}u_x^2)$$

of the PDE (1.1). For a proof just multiply (6.7) by u_x and compare with (6.5) via the chain rule applied to $\frac{d}{dx}q(u(x))$:

$$(6.8) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d}{dx}(\frac{1}{2}u_x^2) + \bar{f}(u, \frac{1}{2}u_x^2)u_x \quad \text{versus} \\ 0 &= \frac{d}{dx}q(u) + \bar{f}(u, q(u))u_x. \end{aligned}$$

A trivial example, again, are $\bar{f} = f(u)$ independent of q , where

$$(6.9) \quad q = -F(u) + E$$

with the primitive F of f and the energy E of the second order pendulum equation $u_{xx} + f(u) = 0$. Indeed (6.6) integrates that pendulum, reading

$$(6.10) \quad u_x = \pm \sqrt{2(E - F(u))}.$$

Our evolution Ψ^{u_1, u_0} of the characteristic equation in (1.19), (1.20), however, does not stop at $q = 0$. Instead it happily proceeds through negative $q = \frac{1}{2}p^2$, alias imaginary $p = u_x$, to re-emerge as positive in other regions of the phase plane (u, q) . It may therefore become a fascinating speculation to ponder the significance of our simple Lyapunov function for extensions to complex, rather than just real, values of u and u_x .

References

- [An88] S. Angenent: The zero set of a solution of a parabolic equation, *Crelle J. Reine Angew. Math.* **390** (1988), 79–96.
- [AnFi88] S. Angenent, B. Fiedler: The dynamics of rotating waves in scalar reaction diffusion equations, *Trans. AMS* **307** (1988), 545–568.
- [ChIn74] N. Chafee, E.F. Infante: A bifurcation problem for a nonlinear partial differential equation of parabolic type, *Appl. Analysis* **4** (1974), 17–37.
- [FiMP89] B. Fiedler, J. Mallet-Paret: The Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for scalar reaction diffusion equations, *Arch. Rational Mech. Analysis* **107** (1989), 325–345.
- [FiMa07] B. Fiedler, H. Matano: Blow-up shapes on fast unstable manifolds of one-dimensional reaction-diffusion equations, *J. Dyn. Differ. Equations* **19** (2007), 867–893.
- [FiRoWo04] B. Fiedler, C. Rocha, M. Wolfrum: Heteroclinic orbits between rotating waves of semilinear parabolic equations on the circle, *J. Diff. Eqs.*, **201** (2004), 99–138.
- [GR12] C. Grotta-Ragazzo: Scalar autonomous second order ordinary differential equations, to appear in *Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst.*, 2012.
- [GuMa01] M L.F. Guidi, D.H.U. Marchetti: Renormalization group flow of the two-dimensional hierarchical Coulomb gas, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **219** (2001), 671–702.
- [He81] D. Henry: *Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations*. Lect. Notes Math. **840**, Springer-Verlag, New York 1981.
- [Ma88] H. Matano, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of semilinear heat equations on S^1 , in: W.-M. Ni, L.A. Peletier, J. Serrin (Eds.), *Nonlinear Diffusion Equations and Their Equilibrium States II*, Springer-Verlag, New York 1988, 139–162.
- [MaNa97] H. Matano, K.-I. Nakamura: The global attractor of semilinear parabolic equations on S^1 , *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **3** (1997), 1–24.

- [Mo83] X. Mora: Semilinear parabolic problems define semiflows on C^k spaces, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **278** (1983), 21–55.
- [OIkr61] O.A. Oleinik, S.N. Kruzhkov: Quasilinear second order parabolic equations with many independent variables, *Russ. Math. Surveys* **16** (1961), 105–146.
- [Pa83] A. Pazy: *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*. Springer-Verlag, New York 1983.
- [SaFi92] B. Sandstede, B. Fiedler: Dynamics of periodically forced parabolic equations on the circle, *J. Erg. Th. Dyn. Syst.* **12** (1992), 559–571.
- [St36] C. Sturm: Sur une classe d'équations à différences partielles, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **1** (1836), 373–444.
- [Ze68] T.I. Zelenyak: Stabilization of solutions of boundary value problems for a second order parabolic equation with one space variable, *Differential Eqs.* **4** (1968), 17–22.
- [ZeLaVi97] T.I. Zelenyak, M.M. Lavrentiev Jr., M.P. Vishnevskii: *Qualitative Theory of Parabolic Equations*. VSP, Utrecht 1997.