Connectivity and Design of Planar Global Attractors of Sturm Type. II: Connection Graphs

> Bernold Fiedler Institut für Mathematik Freie Universität Berlin Arnimallee 2-6, D-14195 Berlin, GERMANY fiedler@math.fu-berlin.de http://dynamics.mi.fu-berlin.de

Carlos Rocha Instituto Superior Técnico Avenida Rovisco Pais, 1049–001 Lisboa, PORTUGAL crocha@math.ist.utl.pt http://www.math.ist.utl.pt/cam/

version of May 2, 2007

### Abstract

Based on a Morse-Smale structure, we study planar global attractors  $\mathcal{A}_f$  of the scalar reaction-advection-diffusion equation  $u_t = u_{xx} + f(x, u, u_x)$  in one space dimension. We assume Neumann boundary conditions on the unit interval, dissipativeness of f, and hyperbolicity of equilibria. We call  $\mathcal{A}_f$  Sturm attractor because our results strongly rely on nonlinear nodal properties of Sturm type.

The planar Sturm attractor consists of equilibria, of Morse index 0, 1, or 2, and their heteroclinic connecting orbits. The unique heteroclinic orbits between adjacent Morse levels define a plane graph  $C_f$ , which we call the connection graph. Its 1-skeleton  $C_f^1$  consists of the unstable manifolds (separatrices) of the index-1 Morse saddles.

We present two results which completely characterize the connection graphs  $C_f$  and their 1-skeletons  $C_f^1$ , in purely graph theoretical terms. Connection graphs are characterized by the existence of pairs of Hamiltonian paths with certain chiral restrictions on face passages. Their 1-skeletons are characterized by the existence of cycle-free orientations with certain restrictions on their criticality.

In [FiRo07a] we have shown the equivalence of the two characterizations. Moreover we have established that connection graphs of Sturm attractors indeed satisfy the required properties. In the present paper we show, conversely, how to design a planar Sturm attractor with prescribed plane connection graph or 1-skeleton of the required properties. In [FiRo07b] we describe all planar Sturm attractors with up to 11 equilibria. We also design planar Sturm attractors with prescribed Platonic 1-skeletons.

## 1 Introduction

Based on a Morse-Smale structure, we continue our study [FiRo07a] of the global spatiotemporal dynamics of the following scalar reaction-advection-diffusion equation in one space dimension

(1.1) 
$$u_t = u_{xx} + f(x, u, u_x).$$

Here  $t \ge 0$  denotes time, 0 < x < 1 denotes space, and we seek solutions  $u = u(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}$ . To be completely specific we also fix Neumann boundary conditions

(1.2) 
$$u_x = 0 \text{ at } x = 0, \text{ and } x = 1.$$

Our results will hold analogously, though, for other separated boundary conditions.

For nonlinearities f = f(x, u, p) of class  $C^2$ , standard theory provides a local solution semigroup  $u(t, \cdot) = \mathcal{T}(t)u_0, t \geq 0$ , on initial conditions  $u_0 \in X$ . For the underlying Banach space X we choose the Sobolev space  $H^2$ , intersected with the Neumann condition (1.2). See for example [Ta79, He81, Pa83] for a general background.

Our main object is the global attractor  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_f$  of the semigroup  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_f$ . We assume

(1.3) 
$$f \in C^2$$
 is dissipative.

Here dissipativeness requires that there exists a fixed large ball in X, in which any solution  $u(t, \cdot) = \mathcal{T}(t)u_0$  stays eventually, for all  $t \ge t(u_0)$ . In particular, solutions exist globally for all  $t \ge 0$ . For broad surveys on the theory of global attractors we refer to [BaVi92, ChVi02, Ed&al94, Ha88, Ha&al02, La91, Ra02, SeYo02, Te88] and the many references there. The specific attractors arising from our setting (1.1), (1.2) we call Sturm attractors.

A Lyapunov function  $\mathcal{V}$  of the form

(1.4) 
$$\mathcal{V}(u) = \int_0^1 a(x, u, u_x) dx$$

which is strictly decreasing along all solutions  $u(t, \cdot) = \mathcal{T}(t)u_0$ , except at equilibria, induces a gradient-like structure of the semigroup  $\mathcal{T}(t)$ ; see [Ze68, Ma78, Ma88]. For nonlinearities f = f(x, u) which do not contain advection terms  $u_x$  a well-known explicit form of a is  $a(x, u, p) = \frac{1}{2}p^2 - F(x, u)$  with primitive  $F_u := f$ .

To exclude degenerate cases we assume *hyperbolicity* of all equilibria

(1.5) 
$$0 = v_{xx} + f(x, v, v_x)$$

of (1.1), with Neumann boundary conditions  $v_x = 0$  given by (1.2). As usual, hyperbolicity of v means that the linearized Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem

(1.6) 
$$\lambda u = u_{xx} + f_p(x, v(x), v_x(x))u_x + f_u(x, v(x), v_x(x))u,$$

again with Neumann boundary (1.2), possesses only the trivial solution  $u \equiv 0$  for  $\lambda = 0$ . We call the number of positive eigenvalues  $\lambda$  the unstable dimension or Morse index i = i(v) of the equilibrium v. We number eigenvalues  $\lambda = \lambda_k$  such that

(1.7) 
$$\lambda_0 > \ldots > \lambda_{i-1} > 0 > \lambda_i > \lambda_{i+1} > \ldots$$

Let  $\mathcal{E} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_N\}$  denote the set of all equilibria. Note that  $\mathcal{E}$  is finite, by dissipativeness of f and hyperbolicity of equilibria. Morse inequalities, Leray-Schauder degree, or a shooting argument in fact show that N is odd.

Hyperbolic equilibria v come equipped with local unstable and stable manifolds  $W^{u}(v)$ and  $W^{s}(v)$  of dimension and codimension i(v), respectively.

As a consequence of the Lyapunov functional (1.4), the global attractor  $\mathcal{A}$  of (1.1), (1.2) consists entirely of equilibria and *heteroclinic orbits*  $u(t, \cdot)$ , which converge to different equilibria for  $t \to \pm \infty$ . See for example the survey [Ra02]. In other words, Sturm attractors  $\mathcal{A}$  consist of just all unstable manifolds,

(1.8) 
$$\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{E}} W^u(v).$$

Indeed the *omega*-limit set of any trajectory in  $W^u(v) \setminus \{v\}$  must consist of a single equilibrium different from v itself, due to the gradient-like structure and hyperbolicity. Therefore are non-equilibrium trajectories in  $\mathcal{A}$  are heteroclinic.

The Morse-Smale property requires transverse intersections of all stable and unstable manifolds of equilibria, in addition to hyperbolicity and the gradient-like structure. It was a celebrated result of Angenent and Henry, independently, that this Morse-Smale transversality is, not an additional requirement but, a consequence of hyperbolicity of equilibria; see [He85, An86]. Surprisingly this fact is based on a generalization of the Sturm nodal property, first observed by [St1836] and very successfully revived by [Ma82]. Let  $z(u) \leq \infty$  denote the number of strict sign changes of  $u \in X \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $u^1(t, \cdot), u^2(t, \cdot)$  denote any two nonidentical solutions of (1.1), (1.2). Then

(1.9) 
$$t \mapsto z(u^1(t, \cdot) - u^2(t, \cdot))$$

is finite, for any t > 0, nonincreasing with t, and drops strictly whenever multiple zeros  $u^1 = u^2$ ,  $u_x^1 = u_x^2$  occur at any  $t_0, x_0$ . See [An88]. See [Fi94, FiRo96, FiRo99, FiRo00, FiSche03, Ga04, Ra02] for aspects of nonlinear sturm theory. It is for this property, central to the entire analysis in the present paper, that we use the term Sturm attractor for the global attractors of (1.1), (1.2).

Our description of Sturm attractors will be based on the *connection graph*  $C_f$  of the global attractor  $A_f$ . Vertices of  $C_f$  are the N equilibria  $v_1, \ldots, v_N \in \mathcal{E}_f$  of  $A_f$ . An edge of

 $C_f$  between  $v_j, v_k$  indicates the existence of a heteroclinic orbit between equilibrium  $v_j, v_k$  of adjacent Morse index  $i(v_j) = i(v_k) \pm 1$ . By Morse-Smale transversality of stable and unstable manifolds, heteroclinic orbits can only run from higher to strictly lower Morse indices. Therefore the connection graph  $C_f$  comes with a natural flow-defined edge orientation: edges can be oriented from higher to lower Morse index. As an aside we already note here that heteroclinic orbits between adjacent Morse levels turn out to be unique, whenever they exist, in the Sturm setting (1.1), (1.2).

We have restricted attention to adjacent Morse levels, for the following two reasons. First, Morse-Smale systems possess a *transitivity property* of heteroclinic connections. Let  $v_1 \rightsquigarrow v_2$  indicate that there exists a heteroclinic orbit from  $v_1$  to  $v_2$ . Then  $v_1 \rightsquigarrow v_2$  and  $v_3 \rightsquigarrow v_3$  implies  $v_1 \rightsquigarrow v_3$ . The proof is based on the  $\lambda$ -Lemma; see for example [PdM82]. Second and conversely, special to the Sturm setting (1.1), (1.2), suppose  $v_k \rightsquigarrow v_0$  with  $i(v_k) = i(v_0) + k$ . Then there exist further equilibria  $v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}$  such that  $i(v_j) = i(v_0) + j$ and  $v_k \rightsquigarrow v_{k-1} \rightsquigarrow \ldots \rightsquigarrow v_1 \rightsquigarrow v_0$  connects through successively adjacent Morse levels. This *cascading principle* was first observed in [BrFi89]; see also [Wo02b]. Together, transitivity and cascading imply that our graph  $C_f$  of Morse-adjacent heteroclinic connections settles the question of whether or not there exists a heteroclinic connection, for any pair of equilibria.

As a simplified variant of the full connection graph  $C_f$  we have also introduced its undirected 1-skeleton  $C_f^1$ . Vertices of  $C_f^1$  are the sink equilibria, only, i.e., the equilibria v with Morse index i(v) = 0. Edges of  $C_f^1$  are the unstable manifolds  $W^u(v)$  of saddle equilibria, i.e., of equilibria v with i(v) = 1. More precisely, sink vertices  $v_j$ ,  $v_k$  of  $C_f^1$  are connected by an (undirected) edge if, and only if, there exists a saddle equilibrium w such that  $w \rightsquigarrow v_j$ and  $w \rightsquigarrow v_k$ . The 1-skeleton  $C_f^1$  thus ignores source equilibria v in  $C_f$ , with i(v) = 2, together with their emanating heteroclinics to saddle targets.

The present paper continues our description [FiRo07a] of all *two-dimensional* Sturm attractors  $\mathcal{A}$ , i.e., of all global attractors  $\mathcal{A}_f$  of (1.1), (1.2), for dissipative nonlinearities fsuch that all equilibria are hyperbolic of Morse index at most two. Planarity of  $\mathcal{A}$  is not just local, restricted to each unstable manifold  $W^u(v)$ , but holds globally. In fact it has been noted by [Br90, Ro91] that any  $L^2$ -orthogonal projection P of any n-dimensional Sturm attractor  $\mathcal{A}_f$  onto the span of the first n eigenfunctions of any Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem (1.6) is injective. Moreover,  $\mathcal{A}_f$  becomes a  $C^1$  graph over the span. More specifically, the zero number satisfies

(1.10) 
$$z(u_1 - u_2) < \dim \mathcal{A}_f = \max_{\mathcal{E}_f} i(v)$$

for any two distinct elements  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  of  $\mathcal{A}_f$ . The weaker property  $z(u_1 - u_2) < i(v)$  for any two distinct elements  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  of the same unstable manifold  $W^u(v)$  had been established by [He85, An86, BrFi86]. Because  $z \ge n$  on the span of the  $L^2$ -orthogonal complement of the first n eigenfunctions of any Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem, injectivity of the projection *P* follows from (1.10). Note that the Sturm-Liouville problem need not be related to the nonlinearity f at all. It only matters that  $z \ge n$  on the  $L^2$ -complement, excepting zero.

Planarity of the connection graphs  $C_f$ ,  $C_f^1$  does not come as a surprise, for two-dimensional Sturm attractors  $\mathcal{A}_f$ . We simply identify the connection graph with the heteroclinic orbits between equilibria of adjacent Morse levels, via the planar embedding P.

To formulate our main results on the structure of these connection graphs, we therefore collect some terminology concerning plane graphs G, next. See also [BeWi97], section 1.6 and 11.2. We call a graph G plane,  $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ , if its vertices  $v_j$  and edges  $e_{jk} = v_j v_k$  are embedded in the plane as points and continuous curves, respectively, such that edges neither intersect nor self-intersect, except possible at their vertex end points  $v_j$ ,  $v_k$ . A loop is an edge  $v_k v_k$ with identical end points  $v_k$ ; we only consider graphs without loops, below. A multigraph is allowed to possess several edges  $e_{jk}^{\ell}$  connecting the same pair of vertices  $v_j$  and  $v_k$ . Rather than assigning an integer weight to a single edge, we represent multiple edges by multiple nonintersecting curves sharing the same end point vertices. We call any multigraph G finite, if G consists of finitely many vertices and edges. Any finite plane multigraph G decomposes its complement  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus G$  into finitely many connected components called the *regions* or *faces* of G. Exactly one of the regions is unbounded, and its boundary vertices and edges are called the *boundary*  $\partial G$  of G. Unless unboundedness is stated explicitly, by faces we always mean bounded faces, below.

A path traverses any sequence  $e_{k_0k_1}^{\ell_1}$ ,  $e_{k_1k_2}^{\ell_2}$ , ...,  $e_{k_r-1k_r}^{\ell_r}$  of distinct multi-edges via distinct vertices. In the exceptional case  $k_0 = k_r$  where the first and last vertex only are allowed to coincide, a path is called a *cycle* or *closed*. A (not necessarily closed) path which visits each vertex exactly once is called a *Hamiltonian path*. A *Hamiltonian cycle*, similarly, is a cycle which is a Hamiltonian path.

Directed multigraphs are multigraphs together with an orientation, for each edge. Multigraphs can be oriented, i.e., can be assigned an edge orientation. Conversely, any directed multigraph can be made undirected, simply by forgetting the orientation. Directed paths, Hamiltonian paths, and di-cycles (i.e., directed cycles), are required to traverse edges in the given orientation. A vertex  $\underline{v}$  of a directed multigraph is called a *directed source* (short: *di-source*) if all its edges point away from it. If all its edges point toward  $\overline{v}$ , then we call  $\overline{v}$  a *di-sink*. We also call  $\underline{v}$  a (local) maximum and  $\overline{v}$  a (local) minimum. We caution the reader that this notion for vertices in multigraphs may be different, in general, from the Morse notion of source and sink equilibria in planar global attractors  $\mathcal{A}_f$  based on their Morse index to be 0 or 2, respectively.

We call a graph G connected, if any two vertices  $v_{k_0}, v_{k_r}$  can be joined by a walk of suitable length r. For finite connected, plane multigraphs with N vertices, m edges and r bounded



Figure 1.1: Cellular and non-cellular multigraphs. Left: cellular. Center: not cellular (doubly traversed vertex v on face boundary F). Right: not cellular (doubly traversed edge on face boundary).

faces we recall the Euler characteristic

(1.11) 
$$N - m + r = 1.$$

We call a plane multigraph *cellular* if each of its (bounded) faces F is bounded by an (undirected) cycle of distinct edges and vertices. See Figure 1.1 for illustration. In other words, each bounded face F is the interior of a plane (topological) n-gon, for some  $n \ge 2$ . In particular, the closure of each bounded face is homomorphic to a 2-disk.

Each boundary edge  $e \subseteq \partial G$  is the boundary of at most one bounded face. Each other edge, called *interior*, is in the boundary of exactly two bounded faces. We note a slight asymmetry in the role of the unbounded face. Under compactification of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to the 2-sphere  $S^2$ , the previously unbounded open face will be homomorphic to an open 2-disk but will not necessarily become a cell of the resulting graph on  $S^z$ . The simplest connected example is the graph G of two vertices,  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$  with a single edge joining them.

We are now ready to state the first variant of our main result. We exclude the case of a trivial Sturm attractor  $\mathcal{A}_f$  which consist of only one single globally attracting equilibrium.

**Theorem 1.1** A graph G is the 1-skeleton  $C_f^1$  of the connection graph  $C_f$  of some at most two-dimensional nontrivial Sturm attractor  $\mathcal{A}_f$  with only hyperbolic equilibria if, and only if, G satisfies the following two properties:

- (i) G is a finite, connected plane, cellular multigraph without loops, and
- (ii) G possesses an orientation with exactly one di-sink  $\bar{v}$  and one di-source,  $\underline{v}$ , both on the boundary  $\partial G$ , and without di-cycles.

To say that one plane graph G "is" another plane graph  $\tilde{G}$ , here and below, indicates an *isomorphism*. The standard notion of graph isomorphism is a vertex bijection which preserves edges. For our plane graphs, we require a homeomorphism of the plane graph, including its bounded faces, which maps edge curves to edge curves and vertices to vertices. Combinatorially, it is sufficient to preserve face boundaries, in addition to the usual notion.

An orientation of G without di-cycles, as in part (ii) of theorem 1.1, equivalently defines a partial order on the vertices of G such that the orientation points downhill. In that sense we may call  $\bar{v}, \underline{v}$  the unique minimum, maximum of this order, respectively.

We note that the full connection graph  $C_f$  and its flow-oriented variant can both be reconstructed uniquely from their 1-skeleton  $C_f^1$ . In fact we detail this construction next, for arbitrary finite, plane, cellular multigraphs G without loops. Motivated by  $C_f$  and its 1-skeleton, we call the vertices of G Morse sinks. Starting from G, bisect each edge by an additional vertex. Call the bisecting vertices Morse saddles. In each (bounded) face, insert one additional vertex and call it a Morse source. Draw an edge from each Morse source to the n bisecting Morse saddles on the boundary of its face. We call the resulting undirected graph  $G_2$  the filled graph of G. By construction, G is the 1-skeleton of its filled graph  $G_2$ . Obviously there is a "flow" directed variant of this construction. We just orient bisected edges away from their bisecting Morse saddles, and edges in bounded faces of G away from their Morse sources.

To formulate our characterization of connection graphs  $C_f$ , rather than their 1-skeletons  $C_f^1$ , we need to recall one last concept from [FiRo07a]. Consider the filled graph  $G_2$  of any finite, connected, plane, cellular multigraph G without loops. We call a Hamiltonian path  $h_0$  in  $G_2$  a boundary Z-Hamiltonian path, if the properties (a)–(c) below all hold. Properties (b), (c) restrict the path  $h_0$  as it crosses through any Morse source w in a face. F. Let  $\dots v_{-2}v_{-1}wv_1v_2\dots$  denote the vertex sequence along  $h_0$ . Then  $v_{\pm 1}$  are Morse saddles on the face boundary  $\partial F$ . The vertices  $v_{\pm 2}$  are Morse sinks, or Morse sources other than w, outside F. If  $v_{-2}$  or  $v_{+2}$  is a Morse sink then it belongs to  $\partial F$ . Since  $\partial F$  contains at least four vertices, and  $v_1v_2$  are immediate successors, we can then speak of a clockwise or counter-clockwise direction of the arc  $v_1v_2$  from  $v_1$  to  $v_2$ , uniquely, and similarly for  $v_{-2}v_{-1}$ .

### (a) **"Boundary**":

 $h_0$  starts at some vertex  $\underline{v}$  in the boundary  $\partial G$ , and terminates at another vertex  $\overline{v}$ .

### (b) "No right turn exit":

Whenever  $h_0 = \ldots w v_1 v_2 \ldots$  exits any Morse source w of a face F, then  $v_1 v_2$  are not both on  $\partial F$  in clockwise direction.

### (c) "No left turn entry":

Whenever  $h_0 = \ldots v_{-2}v_{-1}w\ldots$  enters any Morse source w of a face F, then  $v_{-2}v_{-1}$  are not both on  $\partial F$  in clockwise direction.

The letter Z graphically indicates the admissible behavior, in case both the exit arc  $v_1v_2$ , on top, and the entry arc  $v_{-2}v_{-1}$ , on bottom, are on  $\partial F$ : right turn entry and left turn exit. Note however, that  $h_0$  is also permitted to connect Morse sources of adjacent faces through the bisecting Morse saddle of a shared edge, without creating arcs on  $\partial F$  at all. Also note that the reverse path  $h_0^- = \ldots v_2 v_1 w v_{-1} v_{-2} \ldots$  of  $h_0$  is boundary Z-Hamiltonian, whenever  $h_0$  is, albeit with reversed roles of the start and termination points  $\underline{v}$  and  $\overline{v}$ .

By plain reflection  $\kappa$  we can also define (boundary) S-Hamiltonian paths  $h_1$ . We simply call  $h_1$  S-Hamiltonian for  $G_2$  if the reflected path  $h_0 := \kappa h_1$  is Z-Hamiltonian for the reflected graph  $\kappa G_2$ . In other words, the S-Hamiltonian path  $h_1$  is neither permitted right turns, upon face entry, nor left turns upon exit. By a (boundary) ZS-Hamiltonian pair ( $h_0, h_1$ ) we mean a Z-Hamiltonian path  $h_0$  and an S-Hamiltonian path  $h_1$  in  $G_2$ , both of which start at the same vertex  $\underline{v}$  and terminate at the same, distinct, vertex  $\overline{v}$  in G. See Figure 1.2 for examples

Our concept of ZS-Hamiltonian pairs  $(h_0, h_1)$  is motivated, as we have seen in [FiRo07a], by the fact that the ordering of equilibria  $v_k$  of the Sturm PDE (1.1), (1.2), alias vertices of the connection graph  $C_f$ , by their boundary values  $v_k(x)$  at x = 0, 1, respectively, defines a pair of Z- and S-Hamiltonian paths with properties (a)–(c).

**Theorem 1.2** A graph  $G_2$  is the connection graph  $C_f$  of some nontrivial, at most twodimensional Sturm attractor  $A_f$  with only hyperbolic equilibria if, and only if,  $G_2$  satisfies the following two properties:

- (i)  $G_2$  is the filled graph of a finite, connected, plane, cellular multigraph G without loops, and
- (ii)  $G_2$  possesses a boundary ZS-Hamiltonian pair  $(h_0, h_1)$  which starts and ends at two distinct vertices  $\underline{v}, \overline{v}$  in the boundary  $\partial G$ .

The "flow" directed filled graph  $G_2$  then coincides with the flow directed connection graph  $C_f$ .



Figure 1.2: Boundary Hamiltonian pairs  $(h_0, h_1)$  for *n*-gons, n = 2, ... 6. Path  $h_0$  black, path  $h_1$  gray.

In [FiRo07a] we have already proved the "only if" part of theorems 1.1 and 1.2. As a main preparation we have proved the equivalence of the orientations of 1.1, (ii), with the ZS-Hamiltonian pairs of 1.2, (ii). This equivalence is a purely graph theoretic statement on plane graphs and can be formulated as follows.

**Theorem 1.3** Let G be a finite, connected, plane, cellular multigraph without loops. Let  $G_2$  denote the filled graph of G. Then the following two statements are equivalent.

- (i) G possesses an orientation  $G^d$  with exactly one di-source  $\underline{v}$  and one di-sink  $\overline{v}$ , both in the boundary  $\partial G$ , and without directed cycles.
- (ii)  $G_2$  possesses a boundary ZS-Hamiltonian pair  $(h_0, h_1)$  between  $\underline{v}$  and  $\overline{v}$ , in the sense of properties (a)-(c) preceding theorem 1.2

The point of the two equivalent formulations, later on, will be that orientations of G define unique boundary Z- and S-Hamiltonian paths  $h_0$  and  $h_1$  in  $G_2$ , which can then be interpreted as the ordering of equilibria  $v_k$  by their boundary values at x = 0, 1, respectively. These orders, in turn, determine the global Sturm attractor  $\mathcal{A}_f$ . In fact, the 1-skeleton  $\mathcal{C}_f^1$  and the connection graph  $\mathcal{C}_f$  will be shown to coincide with the prescribed 1-skeleton G and its filled counterpart  $G_2$ , respectively.

So far, we have shown that the 1-skeleton  $G = C_f^1$  of the connection graph  $G_2 = C_f$  of any two-dimensional nontrivial Sturm attractor  $\mathcal{A}_f$  with hyperbolic equilibria satisfies properties (i), (ii) of theorem 1.1. See [FiRo07a]. Together with theorem 1.3, this also shows that properties (i), (ii) of theorem 1.2 hold for the connection graph  $G_2 = C_f$ .

It therefore remains to prove that, conversely, any pair  $G, G_2$  with the properties of theorems 1.1 and 1.2 indeed arises as a 1-skeleton  $C_f^1$  and its connection graph  $C_f$ , respectively, for some dissipative nonlinearity f with two-dimensional Sturm attractor  $\mathcal{A}_f$  and hyperbolic equilibria. In fact we will have to address the converse part of theorem 1.2, only, again in view of the equivalence in theorem 1.3. In particular we are given  $G_2$  with a given boundary ZS-Hamiltonian pair  $(h_0, h_1)$  from the di-source  $\underline{v}$  to the di-sink  $\overline{v}$  of the oriented 1-skeleton  $G^d$  of  $G_2$ .

To the converse part of theorem 1.2, we first review the precise role of the boundary order of equilibria, alias the boundary ZS-Hamiltonian pair  $(h_0, h_1)$ , for the characterization of Sturm attractors  $\mathcal{A}_f$  and their connection graphs  $\mathcal{C}_f$ , in section 2. In particular we introduce the Sturm permutations  $\pi = h_0^{-1} \circ h_1$  and show how they determine Morse indices of equilibria, the zero number of their differences, and the connection graph. We address the special case of an *n*-gon connection graph and attractor, in section 3. Section 4 generalizes this paradigm to any general *n*-gon face within a planar Sturm attractor. In section 5 we conclude the proof of theorem 1.2. We show how our general construction of boundary ZS-Hamiltonian pairs  $(h_0, h_1)$  indeed gives rise to nonlinearities f with the prescribed connection graph  $C_f = G_2$ . For illustration purposes we discuss and classify all planar Sturm attractors with up to 11 equilibria in the sequel [FiRo07b]. We also realize all classical plane Platonic graphs: tetrahedron, cube, octahedron, dodecahedron, and icosahedron, and include a discussion of our approach.

### Acknowledgments

For bearing endless discussions with limitless enduring patience we are much indebted to Stefan Liebscher. We are also grateful to the Division of Applied Mathematics and the Lefschetz Center of Dynamical Systems at Brown University for their wonderful hospitality, and to Jörg Härterich, for numerous helpful remarks. Beautifully expert typesetting was performed by Madeline Brewster.

This work was partially supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

# 2 Sturm attractors, Hamiltonian paths and Sturm permutations

In the present section we outline the role that the boundary ZS-Hamiltonian pair  $(h_0, h_1)$  will play in the connection graph  $C_f$  and the Sturm attractor  $\mathcal{A}_f$  in subsequent sections. See also [Ra02, FiSche03] for surveys.

The role of  $h_0, h_1$  originates from the ordering of the hyperbolic equilibria

(2.1) 
$$\mathcal{E}_f = \{v_1, \dots, v_N\} \subsetneqq \mathcal{A}_f$$

on the boundaries x = 0, 1, respectively. We define the boundary permutations  $h_i = h_i^f \in S_N$  by the boundary order

(2.2) 
$$v_{h_i(1)}(x) < v_{h_i(2)}(x) < \ldots < v_{h_i(N)}(x), \text{ at } x = i = 0, 1.$$

The central object in the classification of Sturm attractors, ever since it was first introduced by Fusco and Rocha in [FuRo91], is then the *Sturm permutation*  $\pi = \pi_f$  defined by

(2.3) 
$$\pi := h_0^{-1} \circ h_1$$

Relabeling equilibria by any permutation  $\sigma \in S_N$  corresponds to replacing  $h_i$  by  $\sigma \circ h$ . This does not affect the Sturm permutation  $\pi$ . For example we may label the equilibria  $v_1, \ldots, v_N$ 

such that  $h_0 = id$  is the identity permutation, and thus  $v_1 < v_2 < \ldots < v_N$  at x = 0. Then  $\pi = h_1$  simply keeps track of the order

(2.4) 
$$v_{\pi(1)} < v_{\pi(2)} < \ldots < v_{\pi(N)} \text{ at } x = 1.$$

For simplicity of presentation we fix this labeling in the present section.

The Sturm permutations  $\pi = \pi_f$  encode geometric and dynamical information on the Sturm attractors  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_f$  and, in fact, make the study of their connection graphs  $\mathcal{C}_f$  a combinatorial task. We describe some of these results next, as they have been obtained over the past decades, starting with preliminary results in [ChIn74, CoSm83, He85, BrFi88, BrFi89, HaMi91] for nonlinearities f = f(u).

In [FiRo99] it has been observed that any permutation  $\pi \in S_N$  is a Sturm permutation, i.e.,  $\pi = \pi_f$  for some dissipative nonlinearity  $f = f(x, u, u_x)$  with only hyperbolic equilibria, if, and only if,  $\pi$  is a *dissipative Morse meander*. We explain these three notions next.

We call a permutation  $\pi \in S_N$  dissipative, whenever N is odd and  $\pi(1) = 1$ ,  $\pi(N) = N$  are fixed under  $\pi$ .

We call a permutation  $\pi \in S_N$  Morse, whenever the following N quantities  $i_j$  are all nonnegative:

(2.5) 
$$i_j := \sum_{\iota=1}^{j-1} (-1)^{\iota+1} \operatorname{sign} \left( \pi^{-1}(\iota+1) - \pi^{-1}(\iota) \right).$$

Note  $i_0 = 0$  by the empty sum, and  $i_N = 0$  for dissipative  $\pi$ .

Following Arnold [ArVi89], we call  $\pi \in S_N$  a meander permutation, if the following property holds. Whenever  $\pi^{-1}(j')$  is between  $\pi^{-1}(j)$  and  $\pi^{-1}(j+1)$ , and j, j' have the same parity  $(-1)^j = (-1)^{j'}$ , then  $\pi^{-1}(j'+1)$  is also between  $\pi^{-1}(j)$  and  $\pi^{-1}(j+1)$ . An alternative geometric description is the following: consider a  $C^1$  Jordan curve S which intersects the horizontal axis transversely and at precisely N locations, numbered  $k = 1, \ldots, N$  in increasing order. Also number the same intersections successively along the curve S. The second numbering j provides a permutation  $j = \pi(k)$ , relative to the first. Any permutation  $\pi$ arising by such a construction is called meander permutation. See figure 2.1 for an example of a dissipative Morse meander  $\pi \in S_{13}$ . For many more examples see [FiRo07b].

It is fairly straightforward to see that Sturm permutations  $\pi = \pi_f$  are dissipative Morse meanders. In fact,  $v_1 = \underline{v}$  and  $v_N = \overline{v}$  are the lowest and highest equilibria in the global attractor  $\mathcal{A}_f$  as discussed in section 1. In particular (2.2), (2.3) imply  $\pi(1) = 1$  and  $\pi(N) =$ N. The Morse property of  $\pi$  follows because  $i_j = i(v_j)$  are the Morse indices of the equilibria  $v_j$ , by [FuR091], and hence nonnegative. In particular  $i_N = 0$  for the top sink  $\overline{v} = v_N$ , and hence N is odd by (2.5) mod 2 with j = N. The meander property follows by shooting: consider the equilibrium second order ODE (1.5) with initial condition  $v_x = 0$  given by the



Figure 2.1: Dissipative Morse meander permutations  $\pi = (1, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 7, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 13)$ and shooting curve S. For k below the horizontal axis,  $j = \pi(k)$  is denoted above. Filled dots indicate  $i_j = 0$  and the circled dot has  $i_7 = 2$ . For all other intersections,  $i_j = 1$ .

horizontal v-axis in the  $(v, v_x)$  phase plane. The diffeomorphic image of the v-axis in the phase plane  $(v, v_x)$ , at x = 1, is called the *shooting curve* S. The curve S crosses the v-axis transversely, at the boundary values  $v_j(x)$  of the hyperbolic equilibria evaluated at x = 1. The permutation  $\pi$  associated to the shooting curve S is the Sturm permutation defined in (2.3), (2.4) above. Numbers j above the v-axis indeed indicate the ordering of equilibria at x = 0, i.e., along the shooting curve S, whereas numbers k below the axis indicate their ordering at x = 1. This explains why Sturm permutations are dissipative Morse meanders. It is significantly more difficult, but has been established in [FiRo99], that all dissipative Morse meanders  $\pi$  are indeed Sturm permutations  $\pi = \pi_f$ , for some nonlinearity f.

The precise numbering of equilibria is just a minor issue of bookkeeping, but also a major source of confusion. Let us clarify. In figure 2.1, numbers j above the horizontal axis indicate the label of the equilibrium  $v_j$  which is defined by the intersection point of the shooting curve S with the horizontal axis. This assumes that equilibria are ordered by increasing index j, at x = 0, according to the convention  $h_0 = id$  underlying (2.4). Let k denote the number at j, but below the horizontal axis. Then the values  $v_{h_0(j)} = v_j$  are ordered as the k are, at x = 1, by definition (2.2) of  $h_0$ . On the other hand, the values  $v_{h_1(k)}$  are also ordered as the k themselves are, at x = 1, by definition (2.2) of  $h_1$ . Therefore  $j = h_0(j) = h_1(k)$ . In particular  $j = h_0^{-1}(h_1(k)) = \pi(k)$ , as claimed in figure 2.1.

In [FiRo00] it has been shown that Sturm attractors  $\mathcal{A}_f$  and  $\mathcal{A}_g$  are  $C^0$  orbit equivalent if their Sturm permutations coincide:

(2.6) 
$$\pi_f = \pi_g \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{A}_f \cong \mathcal{A}_g.$$

Here  $C^0$  orbit equivalence  $\cong$  requires that there exist a homeomorphism between  $\mathcal{A}_f$  and  $\mathcal{A}_g$ 

which maps orbits of the PDE (1.1), (1.2) under nonlinearity f to orbits under g. As we shall see and discuss below, the converse of (2.6) does not always hold.

The key to our construction of prescribed connection graphs  $C_f = G_2$  from boundary ZS-Hamiltonian pairs  $(h_0, h_1)$  between  $\underline{v}$  and  $\overline{v}$  in  $G_2$  will be the derivation of connection graphs  $C_f$  from Sturm permutations  $\pi_f$ . We present these results following [FiRo96]; see also the elegant form due to [Wo02a].

One central ingredient to determining  $C_f$  from  $\pi_f$  is the notion of blocking. Let  $v, v_1, v_2$  be three distinct equilibria in  $\mathcal{A}$ . We say that v blocks any heteroclinic orbit  $v_1 \rightsquigarrow v_2$ , if one of the following two conditions holds:

(2.7) 
$$z(v_1 - v) < z(v_2 - v); \text{ or }$$

(2.8) 
$$z(v_1 - v) = z(v_2 - v)$$
 and v is between  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  at  $x = 0$  or  $x = 1$ 

Indeed blocking prevents heteroclinic orbits  $u(t, \cdot)$  from  $v_1$  to  $v_2$  by the Sturm nodal property (1.9) of nonincreasing  $t \mapsto z(u(t, \cdot) - v)$ .

For later reference and as an introduction to blocking, we mention the following useful blocking lemma.

**Lemma 2.1** Let  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$  be distinct equilibria such that  $v_4 \rightsquigarrow v_3$  and  $v_2 \rightsquigarrow v_1$ . Assume that the following overlap conditions hold, either all at x = 0 or all at x = 1: the equilibrium  $v_2$  is between  $v_3, v_4$ , and  $v_3$  is between  $v_1, v_2$ . Then

(2.9) 
$$z(v_4 - v_2) \ge z(v_3 - v_1) + 2$$

**Proof**: Since  $v_2$  between  $v_3, v_4$  does not block  $v_4 \rightsquigarrow v_3$ , blocking conditions (2.7), (2.8) must both be violated for the triple  $v_2, v_3, v_4$ . Therefore

$$(2.10) z(v_4 - v_2) > z(v_3 - v_2).$$

Similarly,  $v_3$  between  $v_1, v_2$  does not block  $v_2 \rightsquigarrow v_1$  and therefore

$$(2.11) z(v_2 - v_3) > z(v_1 - v_3)$$

Together, (2.10) and (2.11) prove the lemma.

Due to cascading, we only have to consider equilibria  $v_1, v_2$  of adjacent Morse indices  $i(v_1) = i$  and  $i(v_2) = i + 1$  as candidates for adjacency in the connection graph  $C_f$ . For such Morse adjacent pairs we can refine the notion of blocking. By Morse-Smale transversality

 $\bowtie$ 

of stable and unstable manifolds, a unique heteroclinic orbit u(t, x) may run from  $v_2$  to  $v_1$ , but not vice versa. The dropping property of the zero number z on  $X \setminus \{0\}$  implies for a heteroclinic orbit  $u(t) \in X$  from  $v_2$  to  $v_1$  that

(2.12) 
$$i = \operatorname{codim} W^{s}(v_{1}) \leq z(u(t) - v_{1}) \leq z(v_{2} - v_{1}) =$$
  
 $= z(v_{1} - v_{2}) \leq z(u(t) - v_{2}) < \dim W^{u}(v_{2}) = i + 1.$ 

See (1.10) and [An86, BrFi86, He85] for the first and last inequality. Therefore we may also assume  $z(v_1 - v_2) = i$ . We say that an equilibrium  $v \in C_f$  *i*-blocks  $v_1, v_2$  if

(2.13) 
$$z(v - v_1) = z(v - v_2) = z(v_1 - v_2) = i = i(v_1) = i(v_2) - 1 \text{ and}$$
$$v(x) \text{ is between } v_1(x) \text{ and } v_2(x) \text{ at } x = 0 \text{ or, equivalently, at } x = 1$$

Obviously *i*-blocking prevents heteroclinic orbits u(t) between  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , by *z*-dropping of z(u(t) - v).

**Theorem 2.2** [FiRo96] Let  $v_1, v_2$  be hyperbolic equilibria in  $\mathcal{A}_f$  numbered such that  $i(v_1) \leq i(v_2)$ . Then  $v_1, v_2$  are connected by an edge in the connection graph  $\mathcal{C}_f$ , i.e. by a heteroclinic orbit  $v_2 \rightsquigarrow v_1$ , if, and only if, there exists a nonnegative integer i such that the following two properties hold:

(i) 
$$z(v_1 - v_2) = i(v_1) = i(v_2) - 1 = i$$
, and

(ii)  $v_1, v_2$  are not i-blocked.

The above theorem provides an explicit algorithm

which determines the connection graph  $C_f$  from the Sturm permutation  $\pi_f$ , once the Morse indices  $i_k = i(v_k)$  and the zero numbers  $z(v_j - v_k)$  are known, for all  $1 \leq j, k \leq N$ . We combine these numbers in the *z*-matrix with entries

(2.15) 
$$z_{jk} := \begin{cases} i(v_k) = i_k & \text{for } j = k; \\ z(v_j - v_k) = z(v_k - v_j) & \text{for } j \neq k. \end{cases}$$

An explicit expression for the diagonal entries  $i_k$  in terms of  $\pi = \pi_f$  was given in (2.5). The off-diagonal entries  $z_{jk} = z_{kj}$ , for  $1 \le j < k \le N$  satisfy

(2.16) 
$$z_{jk} = i_j + \frac{1}{2} \left( (-1)^k \operatorname{sign} \left( \pi^{-1}(k) - \pi^{-1}(j) \right) - 1 \right) + \sum_{j < \ell < k} (-1)^\ell \operatorname{sign} \left( \pi^{-1}(\ell) - \pi^{-1}(j) \right),$$

again with empty sums denoting zero. See [FuRo91, Ro91, FiRo96]. For practical purposes we also mention the following properties, for all  $1 \le j < N$  and, in the last line,  $1 \le j < k < N$ .

(2.17) 
$$z_{11} = i_1 = z_{NN} = i_N = 0;$$

$$(2.18) z_{j1} = z_{jN} = 0$$

(2.19) 
$$z_{j,j+1} = \min\{i_j, i_{j+1}\}$$

(2.20) 
$$z_{j,k+1} = z_{jk} + \frac{1}{2}((-1)^{k+1}\operatorname{sign}(\pi^{-1}(k+1) - \pi^{-1}(j)) + (-1)^k\operatorname{sign}(\pi^{-1}(k) - \pi^{-1}(j)))$$

In figure 2.2 we collect the 18 connection graphs  $C_f$  of Sturm attractors  $\mathcal{A}_f$  with N = 9 hyperbolic equilibria. Trivially isomorphic copies by  $\pi \mapsto \pi^{-1}$ , as generated by  $x \mapsto -x$ , and by conjugation with the flip  $\sigma = (N, N - 1, \dots, 2, 1)$ , as generated by  $v \mapsto -v$ , are omitted. See [Fi94].

**Corollary 2.3** Let  $v_j, v_k$  be equilibria with, among all equilibria from  $C_f$ , adjacent boundary values at x = 0 or at x = 1. Then  $v_j, v_k$  are adjacent in the connection graph  $C_f$ , i.e.,  $v_j \rightsquigarrow v_k$  or  $v_k \rightsquigarrow v_j$ .

**Proof:** Equilibria  $v_j, v_k$  with adjacent boundary values cannot be *i*-blocked, for any *i*. By theorem 1.3 it only remains to check property (i) for  $v_j, v_k$ . Reflecting  $x \mapsto 1 - x$ , if necessary, we may assume adjacency of the boundary values at x = 0, i.e., k = j + 1. Then  $i(v_k) = i(v_j) \pm 1$ , by (2.5), and (2.13) implies property (i) for  $v_j, v_k$ . This proves the corollary.

By corollary 2.3, we always have two boundary Hamiltonian paths  $h_0$  and  $h_1$  in our connection graph  $C_f$ . The two paths are given by the succession of vertices  $v_k \in \mathcal{E}$ , ordered by their boundary values at x = 0 and x = 1, respectively. In our present notation, specifically, the paths are

(2.21) 
$$h_0 : v_1, v_2, \dots v_N \\ h_1 : v_{\pi(1)} v_{\pi(2)} \dots v_{\pi(N)}$$

Clearly these paths arise from the permutations  $h_0 = id$  and  $h_1 = \pi$  defined via the boundary ordering of equilibria in (2.2). The paths start and end at the boundary of  $C_f$  because  $v_1 = v$ 



Figure 2.2: All 18 connection graphs  $C_f$  of Sturm attractors  $\mathcal{A}_f$  with N = 9 equilibria, up to trivial isomorphisms generated by  $\pi \mapsto \pi^{-1}$  and  $\pi \mapsto \sigma \pi \sigma^{-1}$  with  $\sigma = (9, 8, \ldots, 2, 1)$ . Equilibria are numbered such that  $h_0 = id$ .

and  $v_N = \bar{v}$  are on the boundary of the  $L^2$ -orthogonal Sturm Liouville projection  $P\mathcal{A}_f$  discussed in section 1, (1.10).

None of the above results uses planarity of  $P\mathcal{A}_f$ . Our poof of the remaining converse parts of theorems 1.1, 1.2, will show how boundary ZS-Hamiltonian pairs  $(h_0, h_1)$  in filled graphs  $G_2$ , viewed as permutations of the equilibrium labels  $1, \ldots, N$ , will give rise to dissipative Morse meander permutations  $\pi := h_0^{-1} h_1$  with prescribed connection graph  $C_f = G_2$ .

# **3** Example: *n*-gon attractors

In this section we pause for a moment to illustrate our approach with a specific class of examples: *n*-gon attractors  $\mathcal{A}_{n,m}$  for  $1 \leq m < n$ . See figure 1.2 for the cases  $1 \leq n/2 \leq m < n \leq 6$  and figure 3.1 for the general cases  $\mathcal{A}_{n,n-1}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{n,n-[n/2]}$  where  $[\cdot]$  denotes the floor function.

The 1-skeleton G of the *n*-gon attractor is a regular plane *n*-gon with Morse sink vertices  $v_k$  labeled  $k = 1, 3, \ldots, 2n-1$ , clockwise. The filled graph  $G_2$  possesses the additional Morse saddles 2k bisecting the edges  $\{2k - 1, 2k + 1\}$ , for  $1 \le k < n$ , and the edge  $\{2n - 1, 1\}$  for k = n. The barycenter of the *n*-gon is the Morse source 2n + 1 of  $G_2$ , connected by edges to each Morse saddle. Obviously there is only one bounded face F: the interior of the *n*-gon. The boundary  $\partial F$  is the 1-skeleton G.

The loop-free orientations of G, without di-sources and di-sinks other than the Morse sinks  $\underline{v}$  and  $\overline{v}$ , are characterized by the positions of  $\underline{v}$  and  $\overline{v}$  along the boundary *n*-gon  $\partial F$ : the two *n*-gon arcs between  $\underline{v}$  and  $\overline{v}$  are oriented from  $\underline{v}$  to  $\overline{v}$ . Without loss of generality we label

 $(3.1) \underline{v} = v_1, \overline{v} = v_{2m+1}$ 

for some  $1 \le m < n$ .

We have seen in [FiRo07a] how any planar Sturm attractor  $\mathcal{A}_f$  possesses such an orientation. Conversely, we now construct dissipative Morse meander permutations  $\pi \in S_{2n+1}$ such that  $\pi = \pi_f$  implies  $\mathcal{C}_f = G_2$ , for our *n*-gon. In view of theorem 1.3 it will be sufficient to show that the 1-skeletons coincide:

$$\mathcal{C}_f^1 = G.$$

To construct  $\pi$  we follow the program outlined in section 1 and at the end of section 2, based on the above orientation of G with di-source  $\underline{v} = v_1$  and di-sink  $\overline{v} = v_{2m+1}$ . Properties (a)–(c) of Z-Hamiltonian paths  $h_0$  from  $\underline{v}$  to  $\overline{v}$ , as specified in section 1, identify the path  $h_0 = v_{h_0(1)}v_{h_0(2)} \dots v_{h_0(N)}$  with N = 2n + 1 to be given uniquely by the permutation



Figure 3.1: The *n*-gon attractors  $\mathcal{A}_{n,m}$  with (a) m = n - 1; (b) *n* even and m = n/2; (c) *n* odd and m = (n + 1)/2. Z-Hamiltonian path  $h_0$  (solid) and S-Hamiltonian path  $h_1$  (gray)

of the equilibrium labels. Similarly, the unique S-Hamiltonian path from  $\underline{v}$  to  $\overline{v}$  is given by the permutation

$$(3.4) h_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & 2(n-m) & 2(n-m)+1 & 2(n-m)+2 & \dots & 2n & 2n+1 \\ 1 & 2n & \dots & 2m+2 & 2n+1 & 2 & \dots & 2m & 2m+1 \end{pmatrix}$$

By recipe (2.3) this defines the candidate  $\pi_{n,m} := h_0^{-1} \circ h_1$  for a Sturm permutation with Sturm attractor  $\mathcal{A}_{n,m}$  and connection graph  $\mathcal{C}_{n,m} = G_2$  to be

Note the symmetry under  $m \mapsto n - m$ :

(3.6) 
$$\pi_{n,n-m} = \pi_{n,m}^{-1}$$

It is straightforward to check that the permutation  $\pi_{n,m}$  is a dissipative Morse meander. See figure 3.2 for the shooting curve and the Morse indices  $i_k$  of  $\pi_{n,m}$ .

To show that the connection graph  $C_{n,m}$  of the Sturm permutation  $\pi_{n,m} = \pi_f$  is the filled *n*-gon  $G_2$  it remains to check that the 1-skeleton  $C_{n,m}^1$  coincides with the *n*-gon boundary G; see (3.3). More specifically, we have to show that the saddle  $v_{2k}$  indeed connects to  $v_{2k-1}$ and  $v_{2k+1}$ , for  $k = 1, \ldots n$ , and to no other sinks. Subscripts are taken mod 2n here . This is a case of checking for heteroclinic orbits with i = 0, by theorem 2.2.



Figure 3.2: Shooting curve and Morse indices of  $\pi_{n,m}$ . Below axis: k; above axis:  $\pi_{n,m}(k)$ . Note that labels k above and below axis indicate equilibrium  $v_{h_0(k)}$  and  $v_{h_1(k)}$  in figure 3.1, respectively.

We first show  $v_{2k} \rightsquigarrow v_{2k\pm 1}$ . Indeed  $v_{2k}$  and  $v_{2k\pm 1}$  are successors along the Hamiltonian paths  $h_0$  or  $h_1$ . Hence their boundary values are adjacent at the boundary x = 0 or x = 1, among all equilibria. Therefore i = 0 blocking cannot occur. Moreover  $i(v_{2k}) = 1$ ,  $i(v_{2k\pm 1}) =$ 0, and hence  $z(v_{2k} - v_{2k\pm 1}) = \min\{i(v_{2k}), i(v_{2k\pm 1})\} = 0$  by property (2.13) of the z-matrix. This proves  $v_{2k} \rightsquigarrow v_{2k\pm 1}$ , by theorem 2.2.

To exclude all other heteroclinic orbits  $v_{2k} \rightsquigarrow v_{2j+1}$ ,  $j \notin \{k-1, k\}$  we group the relevant indices k, j into two different sets L and R for the left and right arcs of the *n*-gon, oriented downward from  $\underline{v}$  to  $\overline{v}$ :

(3.7) 
$$L = \{2m + 2, \dots, 2n\}, \quad R = \{2, \dots, 2m\}.$$

Note that  $v_{2k} \rightsquigarrow v_{2j+1}$  is 0-blocked by  $v_{2k\pm 1}$ , within the same set and including  $\underline{v}, \overline{v}$ , because the orientation on each of these arcs, respectively, defines a total order with strict ordering of all these equilibria on the whole interval  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ , and not just on the boundaries. Heteroclinic orbits  $v_{2k} \rightsquigarrow v_{2j+1}$  with saddle  $v_{2k}$  and sink  $v_{2j+1}$  in different sets are excluded, because

$$(3.8) z(v_{2k} - v_{2j+1}) \ge 1$$

in that case. Indeed note that the boundary values of equilibria in L are strictly above those of R, at x = 0; see the ordering define by  $h_0$  in (3.3). The ordering at the other boundary, x = 1 is the reverse: L is below R. This proves (3.8). See also figure 4.2 below.

Summarizing, the 1-skeleton  $\mathcal{C}_{n,m}^1$  of the connection graph  $\mathcal{C}_{n,m}$  of the global attractor  $\mathcal{A}_f = \mathcal{A}_{n,m}$  with Sturm permutation  $\pi_{n,m}$  is indeed the prescribed *n*-gon, as was claimed in

(3.2). Moreover the boundary orders of equilibria, at x = 0, 1 are as was prescribed by the boundary ZS-Hamiltonian pair  $(h_0, h_1)$  from  $\underline{v} = v_1$  to  $\overline{v} = v_{2m+1}$  specified in (3.3), (3.4).

### 4 Example: *n*-gon faces in planar Sturm attractors

In the previous section we have studied the *n*-gon Sturm attractors  $\mathcal{A}_{n,m}$  for  $1 \leq m < n$ , which consist of just a single face F with one source w inside, and n sink-saddle pairs on its *n*-gon boundary  $\partial F$ . In the present section we study an arbitrary face F, alias the unstable manifold  $W^u(w)$  of an arbitrary source w, of any planar Sturm attractor  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_f$  with Sturm permutation  $\pi_f$ . The face boundary  $\partial F$  must then be an *n*-gon, for some  $n \geq 2$ . This has already been observed in [FiRo07a] and is contained in the "only if" part of theorem 1.1: the 1-skeleton is cellular. The analysis of *n*-gon faces in the present section will therefore serve as a paradigm to our subsequent proof, in section 5, that boundary ZS-Hamiltonian pairs  $(h_0, h_1)$  in a plane filled graph  $G_2$  indeed give rise to a planar Sturm attractor  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_f$ with prescribed connection graph  $G_2$ , via the Sturm permutation  $\pi_f = \pi := h_0^{-1} \circ h_1$ .

The general environment in the shooting curve S of a source equilibrium  $w = v_{2m+1}$  in any planar Sturm attractor  $\mathcal{A}$  is sketched in figure 7.1. The shooting curve S consists of arcs above and below the horizontal axis v(1) which match globally, at their end points, to form the Jordan curve S. Consider S oriented from the lowest equilibrium sink  $\underline{v}$  to the highest,  $\overline{v}$ . Then S crosses the v-axis transversely, by hyperbolicity. Crossings are upward, at equilibria v with even Morse index (here: sinks and sources), and are downward at odd Morse index (here: saddles). By (2.5) the Morse index increases by 1 along any arc which turns right, but decreases by 1 along left turning arcs.

By these observations, the source  $w = v_{2n+1}$  comes associated with the following *corona* of sinks and saddles. Let  $v_{2n}$ , below  $w = v_{2m+1}$ , denote the saddle end point of the shooting arc  $a^+$  emanating from w to the left. Similarly, let  $v_{2m}$  be the saddle starting point, above w, of the shooting arc  $a^-$  from the right which terminates at w. Because S is a shooting curve, vertically neighboring arcs must have opposite orientation. In particular, the (possibly absent) upper arcs  $b_{n-1}, \ldots, b_{m+1}$  immediately below  $a^+$  are oriented to the right and are right turning. Therefore they start at n - m - 1 sinks  $v_{2n-1}, \ldots, v_{2m+3}$  and terminate at n - m - 1 saddles  $v_{2n-2}, \ldots, v_{2m+2}$ . This defines the integer  $n - m - 1 \ge 0$ . Similarly, we find m - 1 (possibly absent) right oriented and left turning lower shooting arcs  $b_1, \ldots, b_{m-1}$  immediately above  $a^-$ . These arcs start at saddles  $v_2, \ldots, v_{2m-2}$  and terminate at  $sinks v_3, \ldots, v_{2m-1}$ . The numbers m - 1 and n - m - 1 of these arcs  $b_k$ , incidentally, define m, n with  $1 \le m < n$ . Finally, let  $v_1$  denote the starting point of the first shooting arc  $c^+$  above  $a^+$ . Note that  $c^+$  indeed exists and  $v_1$  must be a sink. Analogously, the sink  $v_{2m+1}$  denotes the end point of the first shooting arc  $c^-$  below  $a^-$ . This defines the sink and saddle equilibria  $v_1, \ldots, v_{2n}$  in



Figure 4.1: Corona  $v_1, \ldots, v_{2n}$  of a source  $w = v_{2n+1}$  in the shooting curve S of a planar Sturm attractor A. For notation see text. The face boundary  $\partial F$  of w is indicated by thick solid and dashed segments.

the Sturm attractor  $\mathcal{A}$  which we call the corona of the source  $w = v_{2n+1}$ .

**Theorem 4.1** The equilibria  $v_1, \ldots, v_{2n+1}$  introduced above define an n-gon face F with source  $w = v_{2n+1}$ . The periphery is an n-gon  $\partial F$  with alternating sinks and saddles  $v_1, \ldots, v_{2n}$ . The sink  $v_1$  is a di-source,  $\underline{v}' = v_1$ , and the sink  $v_{2m+1}$  is a di-sink  $\overline{v}' = v_{2m+1}$  on  $\partial F$ , as described geometrically in section 3. Specifically we claim that the saddles  $v_2, \ldots, v_{2n}$  and the source  $v_{2n+1}$  only possess the following heteroclinic connections to sinks and saddles, respectively:

(i)  $v_{2n+1} \rightsquigarrow v_{2k}$ , for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ ; (ii)  $v_{2k} \rightsquigarrow v_{2k-1}$ , for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ ; (iii)  $v_{2k} \rightsquigarrow v_{2k+1}$ , for  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ ; (iv)  $v_{2n} \rightsquigarrow v_1$ 

In particular the connection graph  $\mathcal{C}_f$  is the filled graph of its 1-skeleton  $\mathcal{C}_f^1$ .

We split the pool of theorem 4.1 into the string of lemmas 4.2–4.6 below. Lemma 4.2 establishes  $v_{2k} \rightsquigarrow v_{2k-1}$  as in (ii), except for k = 1, m + 1. Similarly, it takes care of (iii), except for k = m. In lemma 4.3 we establish the connections from the source  $w = v_{2n+1}$  to the periphery  $v_{2k}$  as claimed in (i). The case k = 1 of (ii), which leads to the di-source

 $\underline{v}' = v_1$  on  $\partial F$ , is addressed in lemma 4.4, together with claim (iv). The remaining cases k = m, m + 1 lead to the di-sink  $\overline{v}' = v_{2m+1}$  on  $\partial F$ , in lemma 4.5. Lemma 4.6 establishes the absence of further heteroclinic orbits from the source and saddles of F to adjacent Morse levels, and thus completes the proof of the theorem.

All lemmas in this section employ the notation and assumptions of the theorem.

### Lemma 4.2

- (4.1)  $v_{2k} \rightsquigarrow v_{2k-1} \quad \text{for } 1 < k \le m \text{ or } m+1 < k \le n$
- (4.2)  $v_{2k} \rightsquigarrow v_{2k+1}$  for  $1 \le k < m$  or  $m+1 \le k < n$

In particular the equilibria  $v_k(x)$  are ordered as follows, pointwise for all  $0 \le x \le 1$ :

$$(4.3) v_2 < v_3 < v_4 < \ldots < v_{2m};$$

$$(4.4) v_{2m+2} > v_{2m+3} > v_{2m+4} > \ldots > v_{2n}$$

At the boundaries the orders are

(4.5) 
$$v_2 < v_3 < \ldots < v_{2m} < w = v_{2n+1} < v_{2n} < \ldots < v_{2m+3} < v_{2m+2}$$
 at  $x = 0$ , and

$$(4.6) \quad v_{2n} < v_{2n-1} < \ldots < v_{2m+2} < w = v_{2n+1} < v_2 < \ldots < v_{2m-1} < v_{2m} \quad \text{at } x = 1.$$

See figure 4.2 for an illustration of lemma 4.2. Without loss of generality we have normalized to the case  $w = v_{2n+1} \equiv 0$ .

**Proof**: We only consider the claims for  $m + 1 \le k \le n$ . Substituting  $v \mapsto -v$  the cases  $1 \le k \le m$  are analogous and will be omitted.

At x = 1 and for  $m + 1 < k \leq n$ , the sink  $v_{2k-1}$  is adjacent to its preceding saddle  $v_{2k}$ along the v-axis; see figure 4.1. By corollary 2.3 this shows  $v_{2k} \rightsquigarrow v_{2k-1}$  for  $m+1 < k \leq n$  and hence proves claim (4.1). Because  $i(v_{2k}) = 1$  at the saddles, the heteroclinic orbit also implies  $z(v_{2k} - v_{2k-1}) = 0$ , which shows half of the ordering (4.4). Similarly, corollary 2.3 implies  $v_{2k} \rightsquigarrow v_{2k+1}$  for  $m+1 \leq k < n$ , because the sink  $v_{2k+1}$  is adjacent to the subsequent saddle  $v_{2k}$  along the shooting curve S and at x = 0. This proves claim (4.2) and the remaining half of (4.4). Claims (4.5) and (4.6) follow from the respective boundary orders of  $v_{2m}, v_{2n+1}, v_{2n}$ and from (4.3), (4.4). This proves the lemma.

### Lemma 4.3

(4.7) 
$$w = v_{2n+1} \rightsquigarrow v_{2k}, \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, n.$$



Figure 4.2: Orderings of  $v_k(x)$  in general face F. Hashed regions at x = 0, 1 indicate adjacency of equilibria.

**Proof**: The shooting arcs  $a^{\pm}$  of the *F*-source  $w = v_{2n+1}$  in figure 4.1 reach to  $v_{2m}$  and  $v_{2n}$ . By corollary 2.3 this shows

$$(4.8) v_{2n+1} \rightsquigarrow v_{2m}, \quad v_{2n+1} \rightsquigarrow v_{2n}.$$

Interchanging the roles of  $a^+$  and  $a^-$  by the substitution  $v \mapsto -v$ , if necessary, we consider the arc  $a^+$  and only show  $v_{2n+1} \rightsquigarrow v_{2k}$  for m < k < n, without loss of generality.

We proceed by induction on k, starting at the settled case k = m. We thus assume  $v_{2n+1} \rightsquigarrow v_{2k}$  has been proved. We now show indirectly

$$(4.9) v_{2n+1} \rightsquigarrow v_{2k+2}.$$

If the heteroclinic orbit (4.9) does not exist, then it is *i*-blocked with i = 1 by some other equilibrium *B*; see theorem 2.2. Indeed  $i(v_{2n+1}) = 2$  and  $i(v_{2k+2}) = 1$ . Moreover  $z(v_{2n+1} - v_{2k+2}) < \dim \mathcal{A} = 2$ , by (1.10), and  $z(v_{2n+1} - v_{2k+2}) \ge 1$  by the boundary orderings (4.5), (4.6). Therefore  $z(v_{2n+1} - v_{2k+2}) = 1$  and B exists, supposedly. To reach a contradiction and thus complete the induction step (4.9) we show below that

(4.10) 
$$z(v_{2k} - B) = 0 < 1 = z(v_{2k+1} - B).$$

Then B blocks  $v_{2k} \rightsquigarrow v_{2k+1}$ , by (2.7), in contradiction to lemma 4.2.

To prove the present lemma it therefore remains to show (4.10). Again from (1.10) we recall  $z(v - B) < \dim \mathcal{A} = 2$  for all equilibria v. The zero numbers in (4.10) can therefore be determined from the boundary values at x = 0, 1.

Because B is assumed to be 1-blocking for  $w = v_{2n+1} \rightsquigarrow v_{2k+2}$ , say at x = 1, we have  $v_{2k+2} < B < w$  at x = 1. Because  $v_{2k+1}$  and  $v_{2k+2}$  are adjacent, at x = 1, this implies

(4.11) 
$$v_{2k+2} < v_{2k+1} \le B < w \text{ at } x = 1;$$

see also (4.6). Moreover  $z(w - B) = z(v_{2k+2} - B) = 1$ , by 1-blocking, and therefore

(4.12) 
$$w < B < v_{2k+2} < v_{2k+1} < v_{2k}$$
 at  $x = 0;$ 

see also (4.5). Together this proves  $B \neq v_{2k+1}$  and  $z(v_{2k+1}-B) = 1$ . By induction hypothesis  $w \rightsquigarrow v_{2k}$ , however, B does not block  $w \rightsquigarrow v_{2k}$ . Therefore z(w-B) = 1 and (4.12) imply that  $z(v_{2k}-B) \in \{0,1\}$  must be zero. This proves (4.10), the induction step (4.9), and the lemma.

As a preparation for lemma 4.4 we define the two candidates  $\underline{v}_2$  and  $\underline{v}_{2n}$  for  $\underline{v}' = v_1$  as follows. The saddles  $v_2, v_{2n}$  each possess an unstable manifold with two heteroclinic orbits. One of these, running upward at any fixed  $0 \le x \le 1$ , terminates at  $v_3, v_{2n-1}$ , respectively; see lemma 4.2. The other one, running downward, terminates at equilibria which we call  $\underline{v}_2, \underline{v}_{2n}$ , respectively. In other words:

$$(4.13) v_2 \rightsquigarrow \underline{v}_2 < v_2;$$

$$(4.14) v_{2n} \rightsquigarrow \underline{v}_{2n} < v_{2n}$$

The following lemma closes the (undirected) boundary cycle  $\partial F$  at  $v_1$ .

### Lemma 4.4

(4.15)

 $\underline{v}_2 = \underline{v}_{2n} = v_1.$ 

In particular  $v_2 \rightsquigarrow v_1$  and  $v_{2n} \rightsquigarrow v_1$ .

**Proof**: We recall that  $v_1$  is the left starting point of the first shooting arc  $c^+$  above the arc  $a^+$  from  $w = v_{2n+1}$  to  $v_{2n}$ ; see figure 4.1.

Between  $v_1$  and  $v_{2n}$  there are (possibly absent) arcs  $d_j^+$  below  $c^+$  which connect sources to saddles. To identify  $\underline{v}_{2n} = v_1$  we first invoke the defining relation (4.14) of the downward heteroclinic orbit  $v_{2n} \rightsquigarrow \underline{v}_{2n} < v_{2n}$ . By lemma 2.1 the target sink  $\underline{v}_{2n}$  cannot be located below any of the arcs  $d_j$  on the v(1)-axis. Indeed (2.9) is impossible by dim  $\mathcal{A} = 2$ . Likewise,  $\underline{v}_{2n}$  cannot be located outside the arc  $c^+$ . Therefore  $\underline{v}_{2n} = v_1$ .

Similarly, lemma 2.1 also implies  $\underline{v}_2 = v_1$ . The above argument indeed applies verbatim if we include the arc  $d_0 := a^+$ . This proves the lemma.

Analogously to  $\underline{v}_2$  and  $\underline{v}_{2n}$  in (4.13), (4.14) we define  $\overline{v}_{2m}$  and  $\overline{v}_{2m+2}$  by the relations

$$(4.16) v_{2m} \rightsquigarrow \bar{v}_{2m} > v_{2m};$$

$$(4.17) v_{2m+2} \rightsquigarrow \bar{v}_{2m+2} > v_{2m+2}.$$

The following lemma closes the (undirected) boundary cycle  $\partial F$  at  $v_{2m+1}$ .

### Lemma 4.5

 $(4.18) \bar{v}_{2m} = \bar{v}_{2m+2} = v_{2m+1}.$ 

In particular  $v_{2m} \rightsquigarrow v_{2m+1}$  and  $v_{2m+2} \rightsquigarrow v_{2m+1}$ .

**Proof**: Substituting  $v \mapsto -v$ , this case is analogous to lemma 4.4.

**Lemma 4.6** The source  $w = v_{2n+1}$  of F does not possess heteroclinic connections to any saddles besides  $v_{2k}$ ,  $1 \le k \le n$ . The saddles  $v_{2k}$ ,  $1 \le k \le n$ , do not possess any heteroclinic connections to any sinks besides  $v_{2k\pm 1}$ , with indices taken mod 2n. In particular  $\underline{v}' = v_1$  and  $\overline{v}' = v_{2m+1}$  on  $\partial F$ .

 $\bowtie$ 

**Proof**: In lemmas 4.2, 4.4, 4.5 we have established two sink targets  $v_{2k\pm 1}$  for each saddle  $v_{2k}$ . Since the one-dimensional unstable manifolds of the saddles contain only two heteroclinic orbits, this proves the claim on saddles. That the source  $w = v_{2n+1}$  does not connect to any saddles outside the corona  $v_1, \ldots, v_{2n}$  follows from blocking lemma 2.1, because  $z(v - w) < \dim \mathcal{A} = 2$  for any equilibrium  $v \in \mathcal{A}$ , by (1.10). Indeed the closed circle  $\partial F$  of the corona heteroclinic orbits around w prevents any heteroclinic orbits from w crossing the corona.

Since  $v_1$  and  $v_{2m+1}$  are the maximal and the minimal equilibrium in  $\partial F$ , by construction and in the boundary order at x = 1, this also proves  $\underline{v}' = v_1$  and  $\overline{v}' = v_{2m+1}$ . This completes the proof of the lemma, and of theorem 4.1.

# 5 From ZS-Hamiltonian pairs and skeleton orientations to Sturm attractors

In this section we complete the proof of theorems 1.2 and 1.1 on the characterization of the connection graphs  $C_f$  and their 1-skeletons  $C_f^1$  as filled graphs  $G_2$  with boundary ZS-Hamiltonian pairs  $(h_0, h_1)$  and their oriented 1-skeletons G, respectively. In theorem 1.3 we have recalled the equivalence of the characterizing graph theoretic properties of  $G_2$  and G. The equivalence proof was on the graph level, directly, and did not recur to any dynamical systems concepts like connection graphs of Sturm attractors. In section 4 of [FiRo07a] we showed how Sturm attractors induce an orientation of the 1-skeleton  $C_f^1$ . This proved that  $G := C_f^1$  satisfies the characterizing properties of theorem 1.1 and thus completed the "only if" part of theorems 1.1 and 1.2. In the present section, finally, we show how the existence of boundary ZS-Hamiltonian pairs  $(h_0, h_1)$  in  $G_2$  (and of a compatible orientation of G) with properties (i), (ii) of theorems 1.2 (and 1.1) conversely provides a connection graph  $C_f$  which is isomorphic to  $G_2$ .

As announced in sections 1 and 4, our proof starts from the paths  $h_0, h_1$  and defines

(5.1) 
$$\pi := h_0^{-1} \circ h_1;$$

see also (2.3), (2.6). In lemma 5.1 we show that  $\pi = \pi_f$  is indeed a Sturm permutation. Based on [FiRo96] it is sufficient to show that  $\pi$  is a dissipative Morse meander. Recall section 2 for this terminology. To establish the graph isomorphism  $C_f \cong G_2$  of the connection graph  $C_f$ with the prescribed filled graph  $G_2$  we observe that any realization of the Sturm permutation  $\pi = \pi_f$  by boundary orders  $\tilde{h}_0, \tilde{h}_1$  of equilibria associated to the specific nonlinearity f in the PDE (1.1), (1.2) just amounts to a relabeling of the equilibria  $v_1, \ldots, v_N$  by some permutation  $\sigma \in S_N$ ; see lemma 5.2. This allows us to choose a labeling such that  $h_j = \tilde{h}_j$  indeed provide the boundary orders of the equilibria at x = j = 0, 1, as required in (2.2). Lemma 5.3 then establishes that  $C_f$  and  $G_2$  are indeed isomorphic, with the identity isomorphism on the vertices. This completes the proof of theorem 1.2.

To complete the proof of theorem 1.1, then, only theorem 1.3 needs to be invoked. Indeed we then have the cycle of implications  $\{\text{Sturm}\} \Rightarrow \{\text{theorem 1.1 (i), (ii)}\} \Rightarrow \{\text{theorem 1.2 (i), (ii)}\} \Rightarrow \{\text{Sturm}\}, \text{ by [FiRo07a], theorem 1.3, and the proof of the "if" part of theorem 1.2 given below.$ 

Throughout the present section we fix the setting of theorem 1.2 (i), (ii). Specifically, we are given a finite, connected, plane, cellular and loop-free multigraph G with two distinct Morse sinks  $\underline{v}, \overline{v}$  in the boundary  $\partial G$ . Moreover, the filled graph  $G_2$  with N vertices  $v_1, \ldots, v_N$  possesses a ZS-Hamiltonian pair  $(h_0, h_1)$  of paths, both of which start and terminate at  $\underline{v}$  and  $\overline{v}$  respectively. We consider the paths  $h_j \in S_N$  as permutations of the vertices and define

 $\pi := h_0^{-1} \circ h_1$  as in (5.1).

**Lemma 5.1** The permutation  $\pi = h_0^{-1} \circ h_1 \in S_N$  is a Sturm permutation, i.e.,  $\pi$  is a dissipative Morse meander.

**Proof**: Without loss of generality let the vertices  $v_1, \ldots, v_N$  of  $G_2$  be labeled such that  $v_1 = \underline{v}$  and  $v_N = \overline{v}$ . Then the paths  $h_j$ , j = 0, 1, both satisfy  $h_j(k) = k$ , for k = 1, N, because they both start and end at  $v_1, v_N$ . In particular

(5.2) 
$$\pi(k) = k, \text{ for } k = 1, N,$$

is dissipative.

We show next that  $\pi$  is a meander permutation, i.e., can be described by a Jordan curve S; see figure 2.1. In fact we will derive the topology of the shooting curve S, and its transverse crossings of the horizontal axis, from the Hamiltonian paths  $h_0$  and  $h_1$  (including their edge parts) in  $G_2$ , respectively. For this purpose we disentangle the paths  $h_0$  and  $h_1$ , wherever they run parallel or antiparallel along the same edge AB between vertices A and B. We consider the path  $h_0$  as running from A to B.

To define disentanglement we briefly recall the concept of *duality*, a slight variant  $G^*$  of the standard *dual graph* of G, from [FiRo07a]. Vertices of  $G^*$  inside  $\partial G$  are the Morse sources of the filled graph  $G_2$  in the bounded faces of G. We replace the single vertex of the standard dual, representing the exterior of  $\partial G$ , by two vertices  $\underline{v}^*$ ,  $\overline{v}^*$  as follows. Edges  $e^*$  of  $G^*$  connect Morse sources of adjacent faces of G. We orient edges  $e^*$ , based on the oriented edge e which the adjacent faces share, such that the ordered pair  $(e^*, e)$  is oriented positively at the bisecting Morse saddle  $\{v\} = e \cap e^*$ . Then  $\overline{v}^*$  terminates all edges  $e^*$  which point away from  $\partial G$ , to the outside, whereas  $\underline{v}^*$  provides a start vertex for all edges  $e^*$  pointing toward  $\partial G$  from the outside. See also [FiRo07b] for some realistic examples.

On the 1-skeleton G, the ZS-Hamiltonian paths  $h_0$  and  $h_1$  then follow the given orientation of the edges. On the dual skeleton  $G^*$ , however, the paths follow opposite orientations:  $h_0$  respects the orientation defined above, whereas  $h_1$  runs against it. In other words, let  $h_0$ run from A to B. Then  $h_0$  and  $h_1$  both run from A to B, in parallel, if one of A, B is a Morse sink. If one of A, B is a Morse source, on the other hand, then  $h_1$  runs from B to A, i.e., antiparallel to  $h_0$ .

We also assign the Morse types 0,1,2 respectively, to any vertex which is a Morse sink, saddle, or source. The Morse types of A, B are adjacent. Here then is the *disentanglement rule*:



Figure 5.1: Disentanglement of ZS-Hamiltonian paths  $h_0$  (black) and  $h_1$  (gray). Dots, crosses, and circles mark Morse sinks, saddles, and sources of Morse types 0,1, and 2, respectively.

 $h_1$  runs

(5.3)

- to the left of  $h_0$ , viewed along the edge AB, if A is of higher Morse type than B, and
- to the right, otherwise.

See figure 5.1 for illustrations of all four cases. Our rendering of the ZS-Hamiltonian paths  $(h_0, h_1)$  in figures 1.2 and 3.1 already respected the disentanglement rule.

It is an easy but important exercise to check that the ZS-Hamiltonian paths  $h_0$  and  $h_1$  cross each other, due to the disentanglement rule, at each vertex other than  $\underline{v}, \overline{v}$ . At sources, for example, this follows from figure 2.1(a), even when  $\underline{v}'$  and  $\overline{v}'$  are adjacent sinks in G. The case of only two sinks on the face boundary is particularly noteworthy; see also figure 1.2 top left.

By our duality construction, path crossing at sinks follows from crossing at sources. Indeed, sinks other than  $\underline{v}, \overline{v}$  become sources of the filled dual. Moreover, the disentanglement rule (5.3) is invariant under interchange of sources with sinks and reversal of the path  $h_0$ . Since crossing is likewise invariant under reversal of both paths, this settles the case of sinks.

As a third example, we consider a path  $h_0$  which leaves a face F and continues on the boundary  $\partial F$ . Crossing of  $h_0$  and  $h_1$  at the saddle then ensues because  $(h_0, h_1)$  is a ZS-pair. We leave the resulting not too few saddle cases to the reader.

For later reference we note that the disentangled oriented pair  $(h_0, h_1)$  defines a *negative* 

orientation frame at Morse sinks and Morse sources, but a positive orientation frame at Morse saddles. Graphically, this is indicated by over- and under-crossings of  $h_0$ . (In particular, we obtain an alternating knot by joining  $h_0$  and the reverse of  $h_1$ .)

We now stretch the path  $h_1$  by an orientation preserving homeomorphism H of the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to become the horizontal axis, oriented left to right from  $\underline{v}$  to  $\overline{v}$ . The disentangled path  $h_0$  then becomes a "shooting curve"  $\mathcal{S} := H(h_0)$  which crosses the horizontal axis path  $H(h_1)$  as required. Moreover  $\pi = h_0^{-1} \circ h_1$  becomes the permutation associated to the Jordan curve  $\mathcal{S}$ , by construction. This proves that  $\pi$  is a meander.

Note that we do not claim that  $S = H(h_0)$  literally comes from an equilibrium ODE (1.5) with Neumann boundary conditions. But our construction certainly establishes the meander property of  $\pi$ .

For simplicity we henceforth consider the graph  $G_2$  with paths  $h_0, h_1$  as presented in the plane such that H = id. We may then identify  $h_1$  to be horizontal, and  $h_0$  to coincide with the "shooting curve" S.

To show that the permutation  $\pi$  is Morse we show, more specifically, that the Morse quantities  $i_k$  defined in (2.5) are not only all nonnegative but coincide with the Morse types of the vertices  $v_k$ . Here the vertices  $v_1, \ldots, v_N$  are numbered along the path  $h_0$ , i.e., such that  $h_0 = id \in S_N$ .

By the orientation of the frame  $(h_0, h_1)$  at any vertex of the horizontal path  $h_1$ , the shooting curve  $S = h_0$  crosses the horizontal axis upward, at Morse types 0,2, and downward at Morse type 1. Consider an upward crossing at a Morse source w of Morse type 2. Then the arc of S emanating from w above the horizontal path  $h_1$  terminates at a Morse saddle  $v^+$  to the left of w. Indeed the orientation of the face boundary  $\partial F$  of w is compatible with  $h_0, h_1$  and thus  $v^+$  precedes w on  $h_1$ ; see figures 1.2 and 3.1, as well as section 2 in [FiRo07a]. Similarly the w-arc of  $h_0$  below  $h_1$  emanates from a Morse saddle  $v^-$  and ends at w which precedes  $v^-$  on  $h_1$ . Along the arc  $v^-w$  the path  $h_0$  thus describes a right turn which increases the index  $i_k$  by 1, along with the Morse type. Along the arc  $wv^+$  in  $h_0$  both numbers are reduced by 1 through a left turn. See the explicit expression (2.5) for  $i_k$ .

A similar analysis applies to  $h_0$  arcs between Morse saddles and Morse sinks to show that, again, the Morse type and the index  $i_k$  change by 1 in complete synchrony along the arcs.

By the Morse types in the filled graph  $G_2$ , any edge of the shooting path  $h_0$  contains either Morse types 0,1 or else 1,2 as end points. Since  $i_k = 0$  at the start vertex  $\underline{v}$  of  $h_0, h_1$ , which is a Morse sink of type 0, we conclude that Morse types and  $i_k$  agree all along the Hamiltonian path  $h_0$ , i.e., on all vertices of the filled graph  $G_2$ . In particular the permutation  $\pi$  is also Morse, and the lemma is proved.

In the above proof we have constructed a "shooting curve"  $\mathcal{S} := H(h_0)$  as a homeomorphic

image of the boundary Hamiltonian Z-path  $h_0$  in the prescribed plane graph  $G_2$ . By [FiRo99] we now know that the abstract permutation  $\pi := h_0^{-1} \circ h_1 = \pi_f$  is in fact a Sturm permutation and comes from a suitable nonlinearity f in the original PDE (1.1), (1.2). In particular we obtain an associated shooting curve  $S_f$  which, unlike the mock candidate S, does arise from the equilibrium ODE (1.5) with Neumann boundary. The crossing directions of the horizontal axis, alias the horizontal path  $h_1$ , coincide for both curves. We can, and will, therefore choose the plane homeomorphism such that  $S = S_f$  is the shooting curve, itself.

**Lemma 5.2** Let  $\pi = h_0^{-1} \circ h_1$  be the Sturm permutation  $\pi = \pi_f$  associated to the boundary ZS-Hamiltonian pair  $(h_0, h_1)$  of the filled graph  $G_2$ , as in lemma 5.1. Let  $h_j^f$  denote the boundary permutations of the equilibria  $v_1, \ldots, v_N$  of the PDE (1.1), (1.2) associated to the nonlinearity f, as in (2.2). Then there exists a permutation  $\sigma \in S_N$  such that

(5.4) 
$$h_j^f = \sigma \circ h_j, \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1.$$

In other words, the equilibria can be relabeled by  $\sigma$  such that  $h_j = h_j^f$  for j = 0, 1.

**Proof:** Let  $\sigma := h_0^f \circ h_0^{-1}$ . Then (5.4) holds for j = 0. Moreover  $h_0^{-1}h_1 = \pi = \pi_f = (h_0^f)^{-1}h_1^f$ implies (5.5)  $h_1^f \circ h_1^{-1} = h_0^f \pi_f h_1^{-1} = h_0^f \pi h_1^{-1} = h_0^f h_0^{-1} h_1 h_1^{-1} = \sigma,$ 

 $\bowtie$ 

which proves the lemma.

We henceforth relabel the equilibria in the Sturm attractor  $\mathcal{A}_f$  of the Sturm permutation  $\pi_f = \pi = h_0^{-1}h_1$  such that the ZS-paths  $h_0, h_1$  in  $G_2$  also coincide with the boundary permutations  $h_0^f, h_1^f$ . With this labeling we can provide the final ingredient to the proof of theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

**Lemma 5.3** The identity map between the vertices  $v_1, \ldots, v_N$  of the given filled graph  $G_2$ and the equilibria of the connection graph  $C_f$  for the Sturm permutation  $\pi_f$  provides a graph isomorphism.

**Proof**: In the proof of lemma 5.1 we have seen how the Morse type of vertex  $v_k$  in  $G_2$  coincides with the Morse index  $i_k = i(v_k)$  of the equilibrium  $v_k$  in  $C_f$ . This justifies the terminology Morse type, Morse sink, etc., which we have introduced. It also settles the direction of heteroclinic orbits in  $C_f$ , from higher to lower Morse index, once it has been shown that the graphs  $G_2$  and  $C_f$  are indeed isomorphic in the sense explained below theorem 1.1. The given graph  $G_2$  is the filled graph of its 1-skeleton G, by definition. The connection

graph  $C_f$ , likewise, is the filled graph of its 1-skeleton  $C_f^1$ , by theorem 4.1. It only remains to show, therefore, that both 1-skeletons possess the same edges and faces. Since edges connect vertices of adjacent Morse type, alias Morse index, in  $\{0,1,2\}$  it suffices to show that each Morse saddle possesses the same edges attached to it, when considered in  $G_2$  and  $C_f$ . We first consider the nontrivial case of edges to saddles v which come from a source w. This will also settle the case of edges from those saddles to sinks. The remaining case of edges from saddles v, which are not adjacent to any sources, to sinks will then be trivial.

Let therefore w denote any (Morse) source, i(w) = 2, in  $G_2$  and  $C_f$ . The face F of w in  $C_f$ , the corona  $\partial F$ , and the heteroclinic orbits in this set have been described in section 4; see theorem 4.1 and figure 4.1. For our analysis of this case, we will switch to the notation  $v_1, \ldots, v_{2n}$  for vertices in the corona, and  $w = v_{2n+1}$  for the source, as employed there, both for  $G_2$  and  $C_f$ .

The graphs  $G_2$  and  $C_f$  are the filled graphs of G and  $C_f^1$ , respectively. To show that  $G_2$ is isomorphic to  $C_f$  in the closure of the *w*-face F, it is therefore sufficient to show that the (undirected) cycle  $\partial F$  in  $C_f$  is a cycle, likewise, in G without interior points in G. Consider the shooting map  $S = h_0$  and the horizontal path  $h_1$  associated to the vertices in  $\partial F$ , as in figure 4.1. We recall that these are also paths in  $G_2$  due to the straightening homeomorphism H in the poof of lemma 5.1. Suppose we can show that  $G_2$  possesses (dashed) pairs of edges

(5.6) 
$$v_2v_1, v_{2n}v_1$$
 and  $v_{2m}v_{2m+1}, v_{2m+2}v_{2m+1}$ 

located below  $c^+$  but above all  $d_j^+$ , and above  $c^-$  but below all  $d_j^-$ , respectively. See figures 4.1 and 5.2. Then these edges will patch together with the  $h_0$  shooting arcs  $b_1, \ldots, b_{m-1}$ ,  $b_{m+1}, \ldots, b_{n-1}$  and the attached horizontal  $h_1$  segments  $v_3v_4, \ldots, v_{2m-1}v_{2m}, v_{2m+3}v_{2m+4}, \ldots, v_{2n-1}v_{2n}$  to form an undirected cycle in G which is isomorphic to  $\partial F$ . Since  $w \notin G$  is the only vertex of  $G_2$  inside this cycle,  $\partial F$  is also isomorphic to a face boundary in G, as was claimed.

To settle the question of isomorphic saddle connections in the closure of faces F it therefore remains to establish the edges (5.6) of  $C_f$  to also be present in  $G_2$ . Substituting  $v \mapsto -v$ , as usual, we only need to address the pair  $v_2v_1, v_{2n}v_1$ . The analysis of section 4 on orientations, shooting arcs, and Morse types alias Morse indices readily applies in  $G_2$ . The heteroclinic orbits  $v_2 \rightsquigarrow v_1, v_{2n} \rightsquigarrow v_1$  of theorem 4.1 alone have become meaningless in  $G_2$ and must be replaced by a different argument.

The geometric situation above the horizontal  $h_1$  axis is indicated in figure 5.2. The shooting arc  $c^+$  emanates to the right, from the sink  $v_1$ . Below  $c^+$  is the left running arc  $a^+$  from source  $w = v_{2n+1}$  to saddle  $v_{2n}$ . To the left of  $a^+$ , below  $c^+$ , similar left running source-saddle arcs  $d_j^+$  may exists. Analogous arcs  $d_j$  may also exist to the right of  $a^+$  below  $c^+$ . In absence of  $d_j$ , the arc  $c^+$  of the shooting path  $h_0$  terminates at  $v_2$  and provides an edge  $v_2v_1$  in  $G_2$ , directly. Analogously, the horizontal path  $h_1$  provides the edge  $v_{2n}v_1$  in absence of  $d_j^+$ .



Figure 5.2: Hamiltonian paths  $h_0$  and  $h_1$ . Dashed arcs indicate saddle-sink heteroclinic orbits which separate Morse sources of adjacent faces.

In the general case consider the (undirected) cycle  $\Gamma$  in  $G_2$  defined by the arcs  $a^+$ ,  $c^+$ ,  $d_j^+$ ,  $d_j$  of  $h_0$  and their connecting horizontal pieces of  $h_1$ . In  $G_2$  the sources of the arcs  $a^+$ ,  $d_j^+$ ,  $d_j$  must then be separated from each other by saddle-sink edges, which emanate from each saddle in the arcs  $d_j$ ,  $d_j^+$ , except  $d_1^+$ , into the interior of the cycle  $\Gamma$ . This follows because  $G_2$  is the filled graph of its 1-skeleton G, and thus possesses only one single source in each face of G. The face separating saddle-sink edges are indicated by dashed arcs in figure 5.2. The only available sink on the cycle  $\Gamma$  to terminate all separating saddle-sink edges is  $v_1$ . In particular,  $G_2$  contains the saddle-sink edges  $v_2v_1$  and  $v_{2n}v_1$ . This proves claim (5.6) and thus shows that any saddle on a face boundary in  $C_f$  possesses the same edges in  $C_f$  and in  $G_2$ .

The only remaining case concerns saddles v which are not on any face boundary of  $C_f^1$ . See figure 5.3. Note that v cannot be on a face boundary of G either, by the above arguments, since faces of G and  $C_f^1$  already coincide.

The Hamiltonian paths  $h_0$  and  $h_1$  then must both pass through the faceless saddle v, in parallel. By definition (5.3) and figure 5.1 they disentangle as in figure 5.3. Therefore both edges of v in  $G_2$  are also edges in  $C_f$ . Moreover, these are all the edges of v in  $C_f$  because the one-dimensional unstable manifold  $W^u(v) \setminus \{v\}$  consists of only two orbits, and the stable manifold  $W^s(v) \setminus \{v\}$  does not intersect the Sturm attractor  $\mathcal{A}_f$ . This proves the lemma and, finally, completes the proof of theorems 1.1 and 1.2.



Figure 5.3: A faceless saddle v.

## References

- [An86] S. Angenent. The Morse-Smale property for a semi-linear parabolic equation. J. Diff. Eqns. 62 (1986), 427–442.
- [An88] S. Angenent. The zero set of a solution of a parabolic equation. Crelle J. reine angew. Math. 390 (1988), 79–96.
- [ArVi89] V.I. Arnol'd and M.I. Vishik et al. Some solved and unsolved problems in the theory of differential equations and mathematical physics. *Russian Math. Surveys* 44 (1989), 157–171.
- [BaVi92] A.V. Babin and M.I. Vishik. Attractors of Evolution Equations. North Holland, Amsterdam, 1992.
- [BeWi97] L.W. Beineke and R.J. Wilson (eds.). Graph Connections. Relationships between Graph Theory and other Areas of Mathematics. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1997.
- [Br90] P. Brunovský. The attractor of the scalar reaction diffusion equation is a smooth graph. J. Dynamics and Differential Equations 2 (1990), 293–323.
- [BrFi86] P. Brunovsk and B. Fiedler. Numbers of zeros on invariant manifolds in reactiondiffusion equations. Nonlinear Analysis, TMA 10 (1986), 179–193.
- [BrFi88] P. Brunovský and B. Fiedler. Connecting orbits in scalar reaction diffusion equations. Dynamics Reported 1 (1988), 57–89.

- [BrFi89] P. Brunovský and B. Fiedler. Connecting orbits in scalar reaction diffusion equations II: The complete solution. J. Diff. Eqns. 81 (1989), 106–135.
- [ChIn74] N. Chafee and E.F. Infante. A bifurcation problem for a nonlinear partial differential equation of parabolic type. Appl. Analysis 4 (1974), 17–37.
- [ChVi02] V.V. Chepyzhov and M.I. Vishik. Attractors for Equations of Mathematical *Physics*. Colloq. AMS, Providence, 2002.
- [CoSm83] C.C. Conley and J. Smoller. Algebraic and topological invariants for reactiondiffusion equations. In Systems of Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, Proc. NATO Adv. Study Inst., Oxford/U.K. 1982, NATO ASI Ser. C 111 (1983), 3-24.
- [Ed&al94] A. Eden, C. Foias, B. Nicolaenko, R. Temam. Exponential Attractors for Dissipative Evolution Equations. Wiley, Chichester 1994.
- [Fi94] B. Fiedler. Global attractors of one-dimensional parabolic equations: sixteen examples. Tatra Mountains Math. Publ. 4 (1994), 67–92.
- [Fi02] B. Fiedler (ed.). Handbook of Dynamical Systems 2, Elsevier, Amsterdam 2002.
- [FiRo96] B. Fiedler and C. Rocha. Heteroclinic orbits of semilinear parabolic equations. J. Diff. Eq. 125 (1996), 239–281.
- [FiRo99] B. Fiedler and C. Rocha. Realization of meander permutations by boundary value problems. J. Diff. Eqns. 156 (1999), 282–308.
- [FiRo00] B. Fiedler and C. Rocha. Orbit equivalence of global attractors of semilinear parabolic differential equations. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352 (2000), 257–284.
- [FiRo07a] B. Fiedler and C. Rocha. Connectivity and design of planar global attractors of Sturm type. I: Orientations and Hamiltonian paths. Submitted, 2007.
- [FiRo07b] B. Fiedler, C. Rocha, and M. Wolfrum. Connectivity and design of planar global attractors of Sturm type. III: Small and Platonic examples. In preparation, 2007.
- [FiSche03] B. Fiedler, A. Scheel. Spatio-temporal dynamics of reaction-diffusion patterns. In *Trends in Nonlinear Analysis*, M. Kirkilionis et al. (eds.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2003, 23–152.
- [FuRo91] G. Fusco and C. Rocha. A permutation related to the dynamics of a scalar parabolic PDE. J. Diff. Eqns. 91 (1991), 75–94.

- [Ga04] V.A. Galaktionov. Geometric Sturmian Theory of Nonlinear Parabolic Equations and Applications. Chapman & Hall, Boca Raton 2004.
- [Ha88] J.K. Hale. Asymptotic Behavior of Dissipative Systems. Math. Surv. 25. AMS Publications, Providence 1988.
- [Ha&al02] J.K. Hale, L.T. Magalhães, and W.M. Oliva. Dynamics in Infinite Dimensions. Springer-Verlag, New York 2002.
- [HaMi91] H. Hattori and K. Mischaikow. A dynamical system approach to a phase transition problem. J. Diff. Eqns. 94 (1991), 340–378.
- [He81] D. Henry. Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations. Lect. Notes Math. 804, Springer-Verlag, New York 1981.
- [He85] D. Henry. Some infinite dimensional Morse-Smale systems defined by parabolic differential equations. J. Diff. Eqns. 59 (1985), 165–205.
- [La91] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya. Attractors for Semigroups and Evolution Equations. Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [Ma78] H. Matano. Convergence of solutions of one-dimensional semilinear parabolic equations. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 18 (1978), 221–227.
- [Ma82] H. Matano. Nonincrease of the lap-number of a solution for a one-dimensional semilinear parabolic equation. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sec. IA 29(1982), 401–441.
- [Ma87] H. Matano. Strong comparison principle in nonlinear parabolic equations. In Nonlinear Parabolic Equations: Qualitative Properties of Solutions, L. Bocardo, A. Tesei (eds.), 148–155. Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser. 149 (1987).
- [Ma88] H. Matano. Asymptotic behavior of solutions of semilinear heat equations on S<sup>1</sup>. In Nonlinear Diffusion Equations and their Equilibrium States II. W.-M. Ni, L.A. Peletier, J. Serrin (eds.). 139–162. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.
- [MaNa97] H. Matano and K.-I. Nakamura. The global attractor of semilinear parabolic equations on S<sup>1</sup>. Discr. Contin. Dyn. Syst. 3 (1997), 1–24.
- [PdM82] J. Palis and W. de Melo. Geometric Theory of Dynamical Systems. An Introduction. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.

- [Pa83] A. Pazy. Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [Ra02] G. Raugel. Global attractors. In [Fi02], 885–982, 2002.
- [Ro91] C. Rocha. Properties of the attractor of a scalar parabolic PDE. J. Dyn. Diff. Eqns. 3 (1991), 575–591.
- [SeYo02] G.R. Sell, Y. You. Dynamics of Evolutionary Equations. Springer-Verlag, New York 2002.
- [St1836] C. Sturm. Sur une classe d'équations à différences partielles. J. Math. Pure Appl. 1 (1836), 373–444.
- [Ta79] H. Tanabe. Equations of Evolution. Pitman, Boston, 1979.
- [Te88] R. Temam. Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.
- [Wo02a] M. Wolfrum. A sequence of order relations: Encoding heteroclinic connections in scalar parabolic PDE. J. Diff. Eqns. 183 (2002), 56–78.
- [Wo02b] M. Wolfrum. Geometry of heteroclinic cascades in scalar parabolic differential equations. J. Dyn. Differ. Equations 14 (2002), 207–241.
- [Ze68] T.I. Zelenyak. Stabilization of solutions of boundary value problems for a second order parabolic equation with one space variable. *Diff. Eqns.* 4 (1968), 17–22.